I. Greetings - Dean Krugman welcomed the committee and guests.

II. Approval of the Minutes – The minutes for the January 18th, 2011 SOM Executive Committee Meeting were unanimously approved as written.

III. Dean Krugman updated the committee on the agenda; the 2nd action item noted on the agenda was pulled as a result from a conversation at the Faculty Senate meeting. The Faculty Disclosure Resolution is being revised and will be presented at a later date.

A. Searches
   1. Dean for Colorado School of Public Health – there have been 3 meetings of the search committee in regards to the Dean for CSPH opening. The dynamics of the committee is different as the members are mostly from the School of Public Health.
   2. Department of Emergency Medicine – Dr. Steve Daniels stated that there have been 2nd interviews with three candidates and two additional candidates are being brought in later this month for airport interviews.
   3. Department of Surgery - there is a delay in getting the job description approval from downtown to get it posted.

B. Budget
   1. Robert Fries briefly discussed the budget – we will probably not hear anything until March or April. Dean Krugman stated that he was told that there will be no rescission of state funds.

C. OIG Update
   1. Dr. Trystman stated that the OIG has been here for a month. They’ve been looking grant financial files, are asking many questions and going through hundreds of thousands of expenditures. It has gone well so far.
D. Faculty Senate Update: There was an update of the accreditation process and that Anand Reddi (2nd year medical student) presented the Faculty Disclosure Resolution. This measure is well-placed and well-intended, but most of the faculty feels it falls short and would like to reword it regarding faculty disclosures. The faculty officers feel keeping things status quo is not an option. There was a recommendation to ban participation in Speakers Bureaus recognizing that there is a tremendous value to collaboration with industry. Another option is that every speaking engagement or collaborative activity needs to be processed through a committee consisting of a joint effort UPI and SOM – this is a daunting task. Alternatively they will likely move forward with a clear definition of one time honorarium and clarification of what types of activities are acceptable. Dean Krugman would like the Senate and the Executive Committee to decide by next month how to solve this, however, he understands that it may not be possible. He would like very explicit directions on how to handle this issue.

IV. Clinical Block/Number of Students – Dr. Celia Kaye brought up the issue some of the Clinical Block Directors are facing. This year, starting in April, we will have the highest number of students entering clinical blocks. For reasons that are not quantifiable, there are a number of students that have been on a leave of absence, some are voluntary, but most are involuntary. There are more MD/PhD students who are returning this year to begin their clinical rotations at the beginning of the phase so that they can graduate on time. We have more students, less clinical sites. We are facing more competition. Rocky Vista University is placing students in clinical sites and they are paying for the clinical sites. There is also internal competition. Some clinicians are releasing their preceptor commitment due to concerns about healthcare reform and the lack of time to devote to the rotations. We are trying to treat all students fairly. Students on leave involuntarily will be given priority. But those students who took voluntary leave and want to come back will not be given priority. These students will likely contact you regarding giving them the opportunity to come back. Dr. Kaye warned the committee that there will be unhappy students and faculty. They are looking at Grand Junction and Colorado Springs to identify clinicians who will commit to students for an entire year, complete evaluations, etc., they will be paid as clinical blocks. If you are aware of clinicians who might be approachable as preceptor sites, they want to talk with them to help alleviate this issue.

V. CU Foundation Fund Raising Campaign – Cheryl Kisling brought the committee up-to-speed regarding the public launch of the Capital Campaign from President Benson which involves all 4 campuses. This has been in the works since the 2007 fiscal year. There are 4 pillars which will used to launch this campaign, they are: learning and teaching; discovery and innovation; community and culture; and health and wellness. This campus is unique to the rest of the university. There is a financial goal which will be $1.2-1.5 billion. The timeline will likely be 8-9 years with regard to campaign work. They are hoping to secure $485M for the AMC campus. The chairpersons are Nan and Carl “Spike” Ecklund for this campaign. They will determine the structure and financing of the campaign along with Bruce and Marci
Benson. We are trying to determine a launch time and structure, but due to the economy, it has been postponed. This is not a campaign to replace State funding, but a campaign to launch new initiatives, to support the programs that the State is not supporting – this needs to be communicated. The priorities are cancer, women’s health research, disease-oriented donors, etc. The official launch dates is April 18th and President Benson will make the announcements. It is time to show that the Anschutz Medical Campus has made things work in spite of the economical issues.

This year this campus has brought in $203 million. The Boulder campus has brought in $214 million. The Dean confirmed that the foundation is looking for approximately 50% bump in fund-raising. People don’t give because of the campaign, but they give because they care. They will be looking to grateful patients and alumni for donations. The foundation is hoping that there will be space on this campus by June 1st for them. On February 24th is the Donor Recognition Dinner and UPI is being recognized. Collaboration between the SOM and the hospitals is important. Tom Schuyler informed the committee that things have changed on how the patient philanthropy program is run. Dean Krugman said the program relies on the faculty to identify donors. Cheryl and Dean Krugman encourages every department to invite Tom Schuyler to speak with their faculty and staff. David Norris would like to see the status on the CU Foundation Endowments. Cheryl will send the latest report to Robert Fries who will get the report distributed to the department chairs.

VI. **CCTSI Research Navigator Resource Presentation** – Molly Van Rhee and Sara Stallings were invited to speak to the SOM Executive Committee after speaking to the SOM Department Administrators. Molly and Sara are research navigators with CCTSI, they gave a presentation on what they can do to help the departments with funding opportunities through CCTSI, IRB guidance, biostatics (BERD), informatics, data management, experienced help with regulatory compliance, education and career development. Their contact information is cctsi.navigator@ucdenver.edu, 303-862-1380 or “Ask a Navigator” button on the CCTSI homepage. Their presentation will be sent to the committee. The most visible they’ve affected data management and informatics infrastructure is by bringing Red Cap to campus, a data management software and survey tool developed by Vanderbilt and used by most CTSA’s around the country. It is available and free to all researchers. Research Navigators are the available for helping investigators navigate regulatory compliance issues. They have multiple software that can be used as a resource for investigators. Step-by-step for prescreening protocols and reviews of IRBs prior to being submitted are a few of the services that they offer. They asked the committee about how is the best way to inform the departments of this and get the word out. Dr. Thor asked if they would be willing to attend a monthly faculty and departmental meetings to share their slide presentation. If anyone is interested in having the research navigators speak at their meeting, go to their website and click on “Ask a Navigator”.

VII. **Action Items**

A. **Denver Health Affiliation Agreement** - the Denver Health Board has approved and signed the agreement. Dean Krugman would like the Executive Committee to approve. Ed McCabe voted to approve, Kevin Lillehei seconded the approval.
A question was raised on how UCH faculty get paid to go to Denver Health to perform surgery. Clinical arrangements with Denver Health should be done through UPI. This particular issue is not in the affiliation agreement. The agreement unanimously passed with no oppositions.

B. National Jewish Affiliation – there are 5 individuals (Chip Ridgway, Joel Levine, Michael Narkewicz, Dan Theodorescu and Dean Krugman) who have been meeting with National Jewish and are becoming close on the affiliation agreement.

Executive Session

VIII. Sr. Clinical Appointments and Promotions - all unanimous recommendations were unanimously approved

IX. Faculty Promotions and Tenure - all unanimous recommendations were unanimously approved and the one non-unanimous recommendation was addressed and a motion to approve was made and seconded. The motion passed with 12 in favor, 3 opposed, 2 abstentions.

X. Approval of Professor Emeritus Appointment – Peter Pons, on Emergency Department at Denver Health – this will be addressed next month.

The meeting adjourned at 9:51am.