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What is the advantage of a dedicated hospitalist training program?

- A third of a hospitalist’s work consists of consultation and managing patients with neurologic and surgical issues.
- Stroke, TIA, seizure, and hip fracture are among the top 15 diagnoses seen (Arch Intern Med. 2007; 167:727-728).
- A survey of hospitalists found that internal medicine residency does not provide sufficient education in healthcare economics quality assurance, palliative care, geriatrics, perioperative medicine, consultative medicine, and neurology (Am J Med. 2001; 111:247-254).

About Us
- The oldest hospitalist training program in the United States, established in 2004.
- Three-year training program aimed at developing future leaders of Hospital Medicine
  - The HTP is one of the tracks available through CU’s Internal medicine residency training program.
  - 6 spots are available through the NRMP match, 6 additional spots available for second-year residents interested in Hospital Medicine (12 total positions)
  - Electives – all clinical rotations available to non-HTP residents as electives.
- Clinical Training
  - Core HTP clinical program includes six track-specific months over two years.
  - Program replaces ward call months with non-call track-specific rotations, each with its own curriculum.
- Non-clinical Training
  - Emphasis on quality improvement, patient safety, hospital efficiency, the business of medicine and healthcare finance.
  - HTP residents can also opt into the Medical Leaders Program for additional emphasis on hospital leadership, business of medicine and healthcare finance tied to clinical operations of hospitals and health systems.
  - Projects allow trainees to better understand quality and safety, hospital finance, leadership and management through the development and implementation of an operational aspect of hospital care.

Clinical Program
Preceptorship
- Unique mentorship experience in hospital medicine working one-on-one with an attending at University Hospital.
- High-yield educational experience for anyone considering a career in hospital medicine or health system leadership with structured learning around the role of physicians in the rapidly changing healthcare
environment. This includes coaching on individual clinical efficiency, understanding the role of policy on physician practice, and “how hospitals work.”

- Approximates community hospitalist model with no more than 18 shifts per month, daily admissions, and no overnight call.

What past residents have said about the HTP Preceptor rotation:

- “The strengths of the HTP preceptor month include autonomy and an opportunity to implement all that I have learned in the Hospitalist Training Program.”
- “This was a good elective with much teaching, including during daily rounds and lectures. Discussing billing on each patient was helpful.”

Stroke, Consultative and Perioperative Medicine

- Work one-on-one with a hospitalist attending at University Hospital.
- Co-management of orthopedic surgical patients as well as providing medical consultation.
- Part of the acute stroke team. University of Colorado Hospital is a Nationally Certified Comprehensive Stroke Center.
- Didactics on preoperative evaluation, perioperative management, and acute stroke management.

What past residents have said about the Consult rotation:

- “I can’t imagine starting a job as a hospitalist and NOT having taken this rotation.”
- “One of the most educational months of my residency. Helped me prepare for being a hospitalist consultant.”

Acute Care for the Elderly (ACE)

- A model inpatient geriatric service staffed by hospitalist attendings at University Hospital.
- Daily admissions with no overnight call.
- Dedicated geriatric assessment of all patients on admission and daily multidisciplinary rounds.
- Didactics on general and inpatient-specific geriatric issues.

What past residents have said about the ACE rotation:

- “This rotation has radically changed my approach to care for the elderly. I will practice differently for the rest of my career thanks to this experience. The learning experience on ACE is unique and I would not have anything even remotely close on any other ward rotation.”
- “I learned more in two weeks on ACE than I did in many whole rotations. I was inspired by the enthusiasm for patient care and education. I found myself motivated beyond my norm and consistently challenged to do more for my patients as it taught me what is meant to be truly responsible for the entirety of their care.”
- “On the ACE service I learned it really takes an interdisciplinary team to provide excellent care for the elderly. It is not one individual or doctor, but an entire team.”

Palliative Care

- Two-week hands-on elective rotation with the inpatient palliative care team at University Hospital.
- Two-day palliative care retreat at the 10th Mountain Division huts in Vail every Fall.

What past residents have said about the Palliative Care rotation and annual retreat/hut trip:

- “An absolutely wonderful rotation. The team was excellent and very interested in teaching. It has cemented my desire to include palliative care into my future career. I would recommend this to any resident. I feel much more comfortable in pain management and leading discussions about goals of care – very important skills.”
- “The retreat was outstanding! Every provider needs to attend this at least once.”
- “The hut trip provided very practical, useful information that I know I will use. Laid back atmosphere.”
Non-clinical Program

Longitudinal Didactic Curriculum
- Monthly didactics on career development, patient safety, quality improvement and healthcare finance that complement clinical rotations and build leadership skills.
- Experiential learning with hands-on training in quality improvement, leading change, and understanding business principles within hospital medicine.
- Structured career mentorship with hospital medicine faculty members and project mentorship for QI work.

Quality and Systems Improvement
- Project work may begin in R1 year with didactics and mentorship, and continues through the duration of residency.
- Team-based work includes partnerships with clinical pharmacy, medical students, and hospital committees.
- Dedicated non-clinical time throughout R2 & R3 years with additional didactics and mentorship.
- Projects have resulted in publications and poster presentations at the national Society of Hospital Medicine meetings.

Other Features
- Monthly Bottom-Line Journal Club at an attending’s house.
- Clinical vignette and research poster presentations at the annual Rocky Mountain Hospital Medicine Symposium.
- Opportunities to present at national meetings.
## Hospitalist Educational Sessions

### Thread: Quality Improvement and Patient Safety
Residents will be able to lead and participate in hospital initiatives that improve the safety and quality of patient care in the inpatient setting.

- **Teaching Sessions:**
  - Introduction to QI, QI Tools, Stakeholder management, Identifying QI Metrics and Planning the PDSA cycle, Managing Data for QI, Scholarship and QI
  - The Patient Experience
  - Patient Safety and Just Culture
  - Medical Error Disclosure
  - Risk Management and Malpractice for Hospitalists
- Quality Improvement Project (QuIP) Teamwork
- Scholarly Activity
  - QuIP Work-in-Progress, Grand Rounds, and QI Abstracts
- Clinical Correlations
  - Participation in Collaborative Case Review

### Thread: Business of Medicine and High Value Care
Residents will understand and be able to manage the financial forces that impact healthcare and patients.

- **Teaching Sessions:**
  - Introduction to the business of medicine, Business Drivers, The Value Equation and Why Hospitals care about QI
  - Big Data in Healthcare
  - Hospital Medicine Group Financials: Reading a Balance Sheet
  - Reimbursement for Hospitals and Hospitalists
  - Health Care costs in Context – are we getting what we pay for?
- High Value Care Project Conference
- Clinical Correlations
  - Audit of daily ordering practice
  - Inpatient Billing and Coding

### Thread: Professional and Career Development
Residents are prepared to effectively lead hospital teams and manage their practice as hospitalists.

- **Teaching Sessions:**
  - Professional Goals Planning, CV and Cover Letter Writing
  - Contract Negotiations and Job Market Trends
  - Interviewing Skills and Hospitalist Careers
  - Keys to Hospitalist Success
  - Maintaining Work Life Balance
  - Personal Leadership Branding
  - Team Leadership and High-Performance Teams
- Best-Practices Conference
- Scholarly Activity
  - Bottom-Line Journal Club

### Thread: Care of the Hospitalist Adult Patient
Residents will be able to provide high-quality, cost-effective, and evidence-based inpatient care for the adult patient.

- **Clinical Correlations**
  - Stroke simulation
  - Visit to SNF and Long Term Acute Care
  - Home visits with patients
## Overview of Hospitalist Training Opportunities at the University of Colorado

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Hospitalist Electives*</th>
<th>Hospitalist Training Program</th>
<th>HTP + Medical Leaders Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Focus</strong></td>
<td>-- Excellence in clinical HM -- Excellence in clinical HM -- Project-level leadership</td>
<td>-- Excellence in clinical HM -- Programmatic-level leadership</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ideal Candidate</strong></td>
<td>-- Interest in HM or hospital-based specialty -- Any resident seeking broad training</td>
<td>-- Any hospitalist interested in improving systems of care</td>
<td>-- MBA graduate or interest -- Future hospital executive (CQO, CMO) -- Hospitalist program leader</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Join Program</strong></td>
<td>-- Not part of HTP</td>
<td>-- 1st or 2nd year</td>
<td>-- 1st or 2nd year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Elective Time Used</strong></td>
<td>-- 1-3 months</td>
<td>-- 2 months</td>
<td>-- 3 months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Clinical Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hospitalist Elective</th>
<th>Hospitalist Training Program</th>
<th>HTP + Medical Leaders Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HTP Preceptor</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultative Medicine</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute Care for the Elderly</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palliative Care</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Non-Clinical Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hospitalist Elective</th>
<th>Hospitalist Training Program</th>
<th>HTP + Medical Leaders Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Longitudinal curriculum</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QI Rotation</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QI Project Completion</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced Certification in QI</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership Projects</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Leadership Curriculum</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Other Opportunities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hospitalist Elective</th>
<th>Hospitalist Training Program</th>
<th>HTP + Medical Leaders Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Present Work at Local Hospital Medicine meeting</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palliative Care Retreat</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottom Line Journal Club</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scholarship to Present at National Meeting</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Career Mentoring Specific to HM Career</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Categorical internal medicine (IM) residency training has historically effectively prepared graduates to manage the medical needs of acutely ill adults. The development of the field of hospital medicine, however, has resulted in hospitalists filling clinical niches that have been traditionally ignored or underemphasized in categorical IM training. Furthermore, hospitalists are increasingly leading inpatient safety, quality and efficiency initiatives that require understanding of hospital systems, multidisciplinary care and inpatient quality assessment and performance improvement. Taken in this context, many graduating IM residents are under-prepared to practice as effective hospitalists. In this paper, we outline the rationale for targeted training in hospital medicine and discuss the content and methods for delivering this training.

KEY WORDS: medical education; hospitalist training; hospitalist; hospital medicine; residency redesign.

BACKGROUND

Hospital medicine is the fastest-growing medical discipline in the United States. There are now over 20,000 practicing hospitalists, with a potential workforce approaching 30-40,000, surpassing cardiology and emergency medicine in size.1,2 More than 80% of American hospitalists are internists.3 The expansion of hospitalists’ numbers has been paralleled by an expansion of their job descriptions—requiring new skills that are generally not taught in most categorical internal medicine (IM) training programs.

Historically, IM training has been an excellent medium for training hospital-based clinicians. Categorical IM training programs emphasize inpatient ward and intensive care experiences, and graduates are generally well prepared to manage most inpatient medical conditions.4 During the early days of hospital medicine, this scope of training was probably adequate as hospitalists focused primarily upon the clinical care of patients who had been traditionally cared for by general internists. The first hospitalists were frequently members of group practices who either rotated between the hospital and clinic or spent most, if not all of their time, caring for their practices’ medical inpatients.5,7 Similarly, the first academic hospitalists simply replaced general internists and subspecialists as attending physicians on general medical ward teams.8 In addition to mastering clinical skills, IM-trained hospitalists will increasingly be expected to provide multidisciplinary care, integrate information technology into daily workflow and adopt rigorous quality assessment and improvement methodology to deliver state-of-the-art care. While it would be ideal for all residents to master this expanding repertoire of competencies, work hour restrictions have limited available time in training. As such, 3 years of post-graduate training will become increasingly insufficient to produce Oslerian generalists, able to care for patients with diverse disease states in all settings. In response, IM professional societies have recently published position papers calling for universal training in core IM supplemented by specialized offerings tailored to a resident’s future professional needs.9–11 In this paper, we outline the rationale for targeted training in hospital medicine and discuss the content that would define this training.

Current Hospitalist Practice

From the beginning, hospitalists were expected to demonstrate value by improving outcomes, managing hospital throughput, reducing length of stay and decreasing the overall cost of care. Hospitalists were not explicitly trained to accomplish these goals; rather their success was a byproduct of their increased inpatient clinical experience and greater presence in the hospital.12,13 Over time, however, hospitalists accepted expanded clinical and administrative roles that fell outside the boundaries of traditional IM residency training.14–16 Eighty-six percent of hospital medicine groups now participate in quality improvement (QI) initiatives, and 72% contribute to the development of practice guidelines.3 Fifty-nine percent partake in formal utilization review, and 54% are involved in hospital electronic medical record and computer provider order entry initiatives.3 Hospitalists also commonly lead
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efforts to improve patient handoffs, partner with outpatient providers in the care of complex medical patients and spearhead inter-disciplinary rounds in their hospitals. Finally, hospitalists are developing hospital-based services such as palliative care and rapid response teams.

Hospitalists’ clinical roles have also changed. While internists have long provided consultation to medically complex patients admitted to other services, hospitalists are now assuming significant, if not primary, responsibility for the care of “non-medical” patients. This marks a significant departure from traditional consultative medicine, where the consultant is expected to answer a specific question and offer recommendations. The new paradigm of medical co-management, which unites a hospitalist with an attending from another specialty in the care of a patient, frequently places the hospitalist in the role of primary attending and mandates an approach to communication, responsibility-sharing and management with other professionals that is rarely modeled or taught in many teaching programs.

Hospitalists may also be under-prepared to manage the clinical demands of patients with non-medical diagnoses. As the attending physician for a patient with a hip fracture, the hospitalist may not only be responsible for acute and chronic medical issues, but also for the perioperative risk assessment and the management of postoperative anemia, pain control (including epidural and spinal anesthesia) and anticoagulation. The hospitalist may be asked to educate families and patients regarding the surgery itself, manage the care transition and recommend activity, rehabilitation and post-discharge follow-up. Many hospitalists have further extended their co-management roles in perioperative care to the preoperative arena. These evolutionary changes represent a major shift in clinical responsibility for hospitalists, yet traditional IM training programs rarely teach these skills.

The Case for Hospitalist-Focused Training

In a survey of 389 IM-trained hospitalists, significant educational mismatches, defined as skills important to current practice but underemphasized in residency training, were prevalent in systems issues (e.g., QI, health-care finance, utilization review, practice guideline development), continuums of care (e.g., coordination of care between settings, prescribing the appropriate level of post-discharge care) and ethics (e.g., palliative care, principles of advance directives). Respondents also noted that their residency training underemphasized clinical areas such as geriatrics, neurology and perioperative and consultative medicine, while overemphasizing the medical procedures needed for the practice of hospital medicine.

We recently described the experience of 436 community hospitalists employed by a national hospitalist company that staffed 133 hospitals in 11 major US cities. Nearly 30% of the patients were seen in a consultative role (6.4%) or had neurologic (13.4%), orthopedic (6.4%) or general surgical (2.2%) diagnoses. Forty-six percent of the patients were geriatric, with the largest subgroup of patients (18.8%) being 75–84 years old. All of these competencies were noted by Pauflth et al. as areas of relative undertraining for hospitalists.

Published analyses of the case mix of residents in traditional IM training programs are not readily available. However, we can gain insight by examining published data from academic hospitalist groups, which are closely interwoven into IM training programs and likely reflect the overall case mix in the training program. Of the seven publications from academic hospitalist groups that included substantial case mix information, three described only a single neurologic diagnosis, and none listed an orthopedic condition in their 15 most common diagnoses. Only one of the programs that recorded a neurologic diagnosis was based at a university hospital. In comparison, our study showed that orthopedic (hip fracture) and neurologic diagnoses (syncope, acute stroke, seizure and transient ischemic attack) comprised a third of the top 15 diagnoses that hospitalists manage.

While all IM trainees must acquire basic competency in QI and patient safety, the level and type of expertise required to effectively practice hospital medicine may differ significantly from that required for traditional outpatient or subspecialty medicine. Hospitalists who lead hospital-wide QI initiatives must engage complex systems with multiple stakeholders to drive clinical and operational improvements. These initiatives often reach patients who are rarely if ever seen by hospitalists, such as women on a labor and delivery unit, or patients in a burn unit. Thus, to effectively direct QI initiatives, hospitalists must not only be change agents for their own practices, but for the entire hospital system. Hospitals, under increasing scrutiny and accountability for their clinical outcomes, will expect hospitalists to be fully prepared to effectively lead and manage major performance improvement initiatives. These expectations will only grow as public accountability and pay for performance alter the health-care landscape in coming years.

To meet these expectations, hospitalists must possess competency in health system management to promote a performance improvement agenda and the project management tools to deliver meaningful results. To succeed, hospitalists will need skills that most graduating IM residents do not possess. Hospital medicine directors will also have to develop the negotiation skills necessary to effectively make the case to those funding their programs to merit value over productivity by allocating time and resources for quality, patient safety and systems improvement work.

Developing Hospitalist-Focused Training

Developing curricula to address hospitalist-focused training is a complex process entwining national workforce trends, institutional funding and the need to broadly train IM residents. The Society of Hospital Medicine (SHM) has defined and published The Core Competencies for Hospital Medicine, and we recommend that teaching institutions evaluate their own curricula against this benchmark. While some programs might choose to expose all of their residents to the entire hospital medicine curriculum, we believe this approach negates the benefits of tailoring training to residents’ future practice environments and risks overloading an already packed curriculum.

Hospitalist-specific training could be structured in a variety of ways, including additional “mastery” years of residency training (i.e., 4th year), clinical hospital medicine fellowships or tailored training within the current structure of IM residency programs. While all of these options merit further exploration, we believe redesigning IM residency to support tailored vocational offerings in the latter years of training will best achieve this goal. This model could also be used as a template for focused training in other areas of IM such as women’s health, HIV or comprehensive ambulatory medicine.
Guiding Principles in Designing Hospitalist-Focused Training (Table 2)

Maintain Balance. Internal medicine training must be redesigned in the context of limited resident work hours, declining interest in generalist careers and competing interests for residents’ time. Successful programs must balance hospitalist training with the need to broadly train generalist providers, ensuring strong core subspecialty and outpatient medicine training. Hospitalist-focused training cannot entirely abandon ambulatory medicine, as not all trainees who matriculate through the track will ultimately practice exclusively in the hospital. Even full-time hospitalists will need to understand enough ambulatory care to effectively and safely transition patients to and from the hospital.

Achieving this balance will require frank assessments of programmatic service needs versus the educational needs of trainees and commitments from departmental and residency leadership to reallocate resident resources as necessary. Many academic programs have already replaced some or most of their traditional ward teaching faculty with hospitalists. While this is a necessary first step to crafting hospital medicine teaching experiences, it is only a first step. Hospitalist teaching services must also model the workflow, values and integration of care that are central to the practice of hospital medicine. For example, hospitalist ward teams might add co-management of surgical patients into daily workflow, integrate housestaff into daily multidisciplinary rounds or admit new patients every day rather than on a staggered schedule.

Build Operational Improvement Methodology Into Clinical Curricula. Hospital medicine is predicated upon the assumption that hospitalists will improve the efficiency and effectiveness of inpatient care through process redesign and QI. Unfortunately, relatively few practicing hospitalists have the skills necessary to develop and deliver system changes of this magnitude. Matriculating hospitalists with these skills will require programmatic commitment to integrating patient safety, QI and resource utilization curricula into inpatient didactic, clinical and project-based opportunities. Hospital medicine trainees should identify an existing hospital quality or operational deficit and negotiate, develop and implement improvement processes. Completion of such a project should be mandatory for all hospitalist trainees.

Emphasize Process Over Clinical Content. IM housestaff have ample opportunity to learn clinical medicine and pathophysiology throughout their training. Hospitalist-specific rotations should therefore emphasize process issues that are not typically taught on traditional ward rotations, such as standardization of care, continuous QI, transitions of care and resource utilization. Hospitalist trainees should fully participate in multidisciplinary rounds involving pharmacists, nurses, case managers and other members of the care team. They should be taught how to communicate effectively and efficiently with the emergency department, consultants and hospital staff. Successful hospitalist-based training must spotlight the importance of and methods to improve communication and care transitions.

Keep the Tent Big. Hospitalist-focused training cannot occur in a vacuum, and it is important to recognize that restructuring inpatient training will require input and support from other specialties. Hospitalist training programs will depend upon other specialties to provide clinical training opportunities, such as orthopedic co-management or acute stroke care. Many will also likely depend upon non-hospitalist educators to teach disciplines such as geriatrics, palliative care or QI methodology. Furthermore, including non-hospitalist faculty in curriculum development and teaching recognizes that hospital medicine training probably will not be exclusive to future hospitalists. Housestaff who intend to pursue fellowship training in cardiology, critical care and other hospital-focused specialties will likely choose hospitalist training programs as well. Finally, we believe that all IM housestaff, irrespective of their future career paths, should be able to sample aspects of this training as they deem appropriate.

Framework for Developing Hospitalist-Focused Training

Programs should begin by performing a needs assessment that compares their current educational environments to the criteria outlined in SHM’s Core Competencies for Hospital Medicine as well as other previously underemphasized areas of training (Tables 1, 2 and 3). We do not anticipate uniform findings across programs; for example, residents matriculating from community IM training programs, which do not compete with neurology services for cases, may already receive excellent training in acute stroke care. Programs will need to tailor their solutions to address their specific educational deficits consistent with their local resources, culture and educational philosophies.

These solutions should be developed in the context of the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education’s (ACGME) six core competencies. Most of these deficiencies will touch multiple domains. However, in our experience many of the underemphasized areas will fall into the patient care (e.g., acute end-of-life pain and symptom management), medical knowledge (e.g., blood pressure management in acute stroke), systems-based practice (e.g., inter-disciplinary protocol development) and practice-based learning and improvement (e.g., developing and leading QI projects) competencies.

After completing a needs assessment, programs must assess their institutions’ level of commitment to hospitalist-focused training and generate broad buy-in. We recommend beginning by engaging local thought leaders and generating their support. It will take commitment and persistence to dispel the dogma that current IM training programs are sufficient to develop fully competent hospitalists. Early in the process, programs should open discussions regarding the impact of educational change on clinical service needs. This often presents a formidable hurdle to overcome, and in many cases success will require coordinated support from both departmental and hospital leadership. IM program directors, departmental chairs and hospital administrators often have widely disparate agendas for their hospitalist programs. Program directors may view hospitalists as a mechanism to comply with ACGME work load restrictions, department chairs may believe that they are a means to expand or create new clinical service lines, and hospital administrators may see an opportunity to improve operational efficiency and quality. The
Table 1. Underemphasized Areas of Training for Hospitalists

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical areas</th>
<th>Examples of training needs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perioperative medicine</td>
<td>Surgical risk assessment and patient optimization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Perioperative pain and symptom management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Perioperative venous thrombophrophaxis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Perioperative diabetes management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rapid assessment of the surgical abdomen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultative medicine</td>
<td>Effective medical consultation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthopedics</td>
<td>Understanding the consultant versus co-management role</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurology/neurosurgery</td>
<td>Management of acute hip fracture and joint arthroplasty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Basics of acute rehabilitation medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geriatrics</td>
<td>Management of blood pressure and diabetes in acute stroke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Indications and contraindications to thrombolytic use in acute stroke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Risk assessment in transient ischemic attack</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Management of acute seizure and status epileptic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acute medical management of intracranial, subarachnoid and subdural hemorrhage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palliative care</td>
<td>Rational medication withdrawal in the acute care setting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Delirium, fall and pressure ulcer recognition, prevention and treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Preventing in-hospital functional and nutritional decline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acute pain and symptom management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Understanding the Medicare hospice benefit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Feeding tube use at the end of life</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Guiding Principles for Developing Hospitalist-focused Training

| Maintain balance                      | Balance vocational needs with the needs of broadly trained generalists                     |
|                                      | Complement rather than compete with other training interests                                |
|                                      | Include strong core training in subspecialty and outpatient medicine                       |
|                                      | Use existing ward rotations as a springboard for developing innovative clinical training opportunities |
| Build operational improvement methodology into clinical curricula | Aims to develop expertise in both clinical and non-clinical realms                  |
|                                      | Integrate non-clinical themes into the clinical rotations                                  |
|                                      | Incorporate longitudinal reinforcement of key tenets                                       |
|                                      | Utilize inpatient quality improvement and patient safety as the backbone of training        |
|                                      | Require demonstration of ability to engage in and lead quality improvement efforts          |
| Emphasize process over clinical content | Foster collegiality, respect and partnerships with primary care providers                  |
|                                      | Emphasize teamwork and multidisciplinary team approach                                     |
|                                      | Enhance the communication aspects and safety of care transitions                            |
| Keep the tent big                     | Collaborate with other specialties to provide training venues                               |
|                                      | Include non-hospitalist faculty in the training program                                     |
|                                      | Curricular elements should be available to all residents                                    |

Table 3. Framework for Developing Hospitalist-focused Training

| Perform local needs assessment to identify educational mismatches | Generate institutional buy-in |
|                                                               | Identify, develop and/or recruit educators with appropriate content expertise |
|                                                               | Tie the training efforts to the clinical, quality and scholarly activities of faculty |
|                                                               | Integrate curriculum longitudinally into clinical educational offerings |
|                                                               | Utilize existing training opportunities for developing hospitalist rotations |
|                                                               | Aim for comprehensive scope |
|                                                               | Consider piloting clinical curricula first |
|                                                               | Partner with other services to develop new clinical training opportunities |
|                                                               | Establish benchmarks for success and measure from the beginning |
supplant curricular activities that are deemed either overrepresented or less appropriate to hospitalist practice.

Accomplishing this task will frequently mandate shifting resident coverage away from other departmental services. Offsetting these staffing changes will require innovative staffing solutions, such as shifting clinical duties to hospitalists to free up housestaff. This could include substituting a hospitalist-run, non-resident service for a traditional inpatient ward team, which would free housestaff to rotate on a hospitalist-specific service. Additionally, housestaff could be replaced by non-physician practitioners on less educationally appropriate or overrepresented rotations. As an example, nurse practitioners or physician assistants could cover bone marrow transplant units or cardiology services. Finally, some resident rotations can be modified to meet more than one core requirement. For example, shifting outpatient geriatric rotations to a more relevant inpatient setting can allow one rotation to fulfill both hospitalist and geriatric curricula requirements. All of these scenarios may require significant restructuring of services and reallocation of resources. Achieving success will require a clear vision, inspired leadership and strong institutional commitment to hospitalist-focused training.

We recommend aiming for a comprehensive hospital medicine curriculum for the latter part of IM training that covers the major areas of relative underemphasis. We recognize that many programs will take an incremental approach that initially focuses on a limited number of key curricular deficiencies. In our experience, it is easier to begin by targeting clinical deficiencies, such as perioperative medicine or palliative care, as more educators possess these skills, and it is often easier to get institutional and resident buy-in than for the often more abstract non-clinical areas.

Finally, programs must establish goals, evaluation methods and clearly defined benchmarks for success. The ability to document success and deliverables will be principal to the continued growth and success of the program.

Summary

Traditional categorical IM training may not meet the needs of many future internists, including hospitalists, who appear to be deficient in several core clinical and non-clinical areas of hospital medicine. As hospitalists rapidly become a fixture in the American medical landscape, it is increasingly vital to train them in the full spectrum of clinical and non-clinical hospital medicine. If we continue to matriculate hospitalists without addressing their specific training needs, we risk missing an opportunity to systemically improve the quality, safety and cost-effectiveness of inpatient care in the US.
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