## Dossier Checklist: Reappointment, Tenure, and Promotion (CU Denver) 2023-24

Candidate	e's Name:
School/Co	ollege:
Current R	ank/Title:
Action:	Comprehensive Review for Reappointment Tenure Promotion
A candidat	te's dossier must include the following items:
Comple	eted dossier checklist
UCD-7	signature form
Primar	y unit criteria
	atement re: acceptance of current primary unit criteria if candidate was hired with evious criteria in place
Initial o	offer letter
Previo	us RTP and personnel actions, if any (including credit for prior service, tenure clock
extensio	on forms, reappointment letters and memos, tenure letters and memos)
Facult	y Pandemic Impact Statement (optional)
Currei	nt curriculum vitae (See Strategies for Success Appendices for suggested format.)
Overal	summary statement (two-to-three-page summary overview)
Teachi	ng (librarianship) statement (no more than three pages)
FCC	Q one-page summary table (see Strategies for Success Appendices)
Schola	arly/creative work statement (no more than three pages)
Leade	rship/service statement (no more than three pages)
Suppo	rting teaching (librarianship) materials
	<b>Qs</b> (Schools and colleges have discretion in terms of very large courses, but need to bmit unbiased, representative samples of FCQs.)
Ot	her supporting teaching (librarianship) materials
Suppo	rting scholarly/creative work materials
Suppo	rting leadership/service materials

## **Primary Unit Evaluation Committee report**

**Primary Unit analysis of teaching (librarianship)** (subcommittee report, if relevant) (Documentation requires peer reviews of teaching/librarianship, other multiple methods of evaluation, and critical, relevant teaching/librarianship analyses.)

Primary Unit analysis of scholarly/creative work (subcommittee report, if relevant)

Primary Unit analysis of leadership/service (subcommittee report, if relevant)

**Primary Unit recommendation and vote** (See Letter Writing Requirements for Dossiers for acceptable wording for evaluation and vote count.\*)

if vote is not unanimous, an explanation of negative votes is required and a minority report may be added

**Dean's review/advisory committee recommendation and vote** (See Letter Writing Requirements for Dossiers for acceptable wording for evaluation and vote count. \*) (an independent analysis at this level is required)

if vote is not unanimous, explanations and minority reports may be added (*This is helpful, but not required.*)

**Dean's recommendation** (See Letter Writing Requirements for Dossiers for acceptable wording for evaluation.\*)

## **External Review**

Letters received from reviewers

Copies of External Reviewers' curriculum vitae

Two lists of suggested reviewers (candidate's and primary unit's), with indication of who responded

Explanation of how reviewers were chosen

Copy of the letter(s) sent to the external reviewers

Number of reviewers meets requirements	explanation if requirement not met
Ratio meets requirements	explanation if requirement not met

## **Reconsideration recommendations**

(If the Dean's review/advisory committee or the Dean disagrees with the recommendation of the Primary Unit, the dossier is returned to the Primary Unit for reconsideration, after which the Primary Unit returns its reconsidered judgment to the Dean for further consideration.)

Primary unit's reconsideration, if applicable

Additional reconsideration and vote of the Dean's advisory/review committee and/or Dean, if applicable

I have reviewed this candidate's dossier and affirm that it is complete and is consistent with University policy.

Dean's Signature	Date

\* *Letter Writing Requirements for Dossiers* dictates the acceptable wording for evaluation and vote counts of performance at each level. Reappointment/comprehensive review evaluation of teaching (librarianship), scholarly/creative work, and leadership/service differ from promotion and tenure evaluations.