

Dossier Checklist: Reappointment, Tenure, and Promotion (CU Denver) 2022-23

Candidate's Name: _____

School/College/Library: _____

Current Rank/Title: _____

Action: **Comprehensive Review for Reappointment** **Tenure** **Promotion**

A candidate's dossier must include the following items:

Completed dossier checklist

UCD-7 signature form

Primary unit criteria

Statement re: acceptance of current primary unit criteria if candidate was hired with previous criteria in place

Initial offer letter

Previous RTP and personnel actions, if any (including tenure clock extension forms)

Current curriculum vitae (See *Strategies for Success Appendix F* for suggested format.)

Overall summary statement (*two-to-three-page summary overview*)

Teaching (Librarianship) statement (*no more than three pages*)

FCQ one-page summary table (*see Strategies for Success Appendix D*)

Scholarly/creative work statement (*no more than three pages*)

Leadership/service statement (*no more than three pages*)

Supporting teaching (librarianship) materials

FCQs (*Schools and colleges have discretion in terms of very large courses, but need to submit unbiased, representative samples of FCQs.*)

Other supporting teaching (librarianship) materials

Supporting scholarly/creative work materials

Supporting leadership/service materials

Primary Unit recommendation and vote (See *Letter Writing Requirements for Dossiers* for acceptable wording for evaluation and vote count. *)

if vote is not unanimous, an explanation of negative votes is required and a minority report may be added

Primary Unit analysis of teaching (librarianship) (subcommittee report, if relevant)
(Documentation requires peer reviews of teaching/librarianship, other multiple methods of evaluation, and critical, relevant teaching/librarianship analyses.)

Primary Unit analysis of scholarly/creative work (subcommittee report, if relevant)

Primary Unit analysis of leadership/service (subcommittee report, if relevant)

Dean's review/advisory committee recommendation and vote (See *Letter Writing Requirements for Dossiers* for acceptable wording for evaluation and vote count. *)
(an independent analysis at this level is required)

if vote is not unanimous, explanations and minority reports may be added (This is helpful, but not required.)

Dean's recommendation (See *Letter Writing Requirements for Dossiers* for acceptable wording for evaluation. *)

Reconsideration recommendations

(If the Dean's advisory/review committee or the Dean disagrees with the recommendation of the Primary Unit, the dossier is returned to the Primary Unit for reconsideration, after which the Primary Unit returns its reconsidered judgment to the Dean for further consideration.)

Primary unit's reconsideration, if applicable

Additional reconsideration and vote of the Dean's advisory/review committee and/or Dean, if applicable

External Review

External letters

Two lists of suggested reviewers (candidate's and primary unit's), with indication of who responded

Explanation of how reviewers were chosen, with indication that:

Number of reviewers meets requirements, or explanation if requirement not met

Ratio meets requirements, or explanation if requirement not met

Copy of the letter(s) sent to the external reviewers

Copies of External Reviewers' curriculum vitae

I have reviewed this candidate's dossier and affirm that it is complete and is consistent with University policy.

Dean's Signature _____ **Date** _____

* ***Letter Writing Requirements for Dossiers*** dictates the acceptable wording for evaluation and vote counts of performance at each level. Reappointment/comprehensive review evaluation of teaching (librarianship), scholarly/creative work, and leadership/service differ from promotion and tenure evaluations.