1. **Approval of Minutes:**
   David Kottenstette moved to approve the minutes from the December 7, 2015 regular meeting of the Faculty Advisory Committee to the Auraria Board. Rafael Sanchez seconded the motion.
   The motion carried unanimously.

2. **Faculty Friends of Auraria Award:**
   The group looked at the criteria ideas provided by Zsuzsa and Rafael. They liked all of their ideas, but agreed that there should be examples of the type of tri-institutional involvement they are looking for out of the applicants/nominees. Rafael suggested that working with students should be a criteria, and he gave an example of his department working with students to create a desktop 3D printer. David said that the award should promote involvement with all institutions; it should bring people together. The group agreed.

   Rafael asked about campus safety, and Barb responded that the police have been busy creating lots of safety initiatives, like the text a tip program and the community officers in many of the buildings. David suggested having the ACPD come to a future FACAB meeting and talk about all of that. Lincoln told the group that while watching some of the safety videos, he noticed that there are definite “hot zones” like the exit doors on the Cherry Creek building, as an example. He suggested possibly creating a set of really short videos or podcasts that speak specifically to these “hot zone” areas. David thought they could possibly post them to YouTube, so they are more accessible to students, faculty and staff.
David brought the focus back to the FFA award. The group consensus was that they liked the outlines that Zsuzsa and Rafael created, and they just needed to merge them into one and include examples. They will bring the final outline to their constituents, so people can begin to think about the award and they will have a better idea of what it’s all about. Timberley asked if they should request a bio with submissions or if they should put page limits on anything but David thought that they should wait and see what they get for this first time around, then maybe add page limits if they find that many people are writing extremely lengthy submissions. The group agreed.

3. **Spring Site Visits:**
David said that he would love to have site visits as a part of their yearly agendas, so they are able to see all the progress happening around campus, and it would give them an opportunity to gather valuable information about the other institutions new buildings and projects as well. He asked the group what their priorities are this year. Barb told the group that there is plenty to see at the Library, as they have been progressing quickly over there. The group was also interested in seeing CU Denver’s, Student Commons building. David added that sometimes he feels as if he doesn’t “belong” in the other schools buildings, and he thinks that these tours will create a more “shared campus” mentality. Timberley suggested that they might invite SACAB to join them on some of their site visits and the group also thought this was a good idea. The group also decided that it would be a good idea to actually hold their meetings in the buildings that they wish to tour and visit. David brought up that he would be interested in learning more about the intention of the architecture as well. The group identified the Library, the MSU Denver Regency Fields, and the CU Denver Student Commons to visit first. Lincoln said that maybe next year they could plan off site visits, like some of the locations across Speer Blvd. The group liked that idea as well.

4. **Tri-Institutional Partnership discussion:**
Timberley told the group that some of the CU Denver faculty had asked her to bring up parking for faculty who have large vehicles or a lot of field equipment that they need to store somewhere. She gave an example of a program that is conducting bird population studies and they have two ATV’s on a trailer, but they have nowhere on campus to park them. There are some departments that have mobile labs as well, and they are running into the same issues. Barb suggested that they work with their own facilities staff first. They should speak to the facilities person at their institutions, and then those folks could reach out to AHEC to see what is possible and how they can accommodate these types of vehicles. Barb explained that their respective institutions should be made aware of these issues first, and then they can work through the proper channels to try and get their issues resolved.

5. **Updates (from Barb):**
Barb gave the group some quick campus updates. She told the group that the library is coming along really well. They will do landscaping in April and they also plan to replace the roof. The
lighting is not completed yet, but it is looking really good. The new entrance on the building is open now as well.

She shared that the Tivoli Quad project is also moving right along. They are on schedule and still plan to have CU Denver graduation there in May. After that, they will not be allowing events in that space for a while to make sure that the grass has an opportunity to take and the other landscaping gets completed.

The Tivoli coffee patio is about a month from completion, and it is looking great! There will be tables and chairs on the completed patio.

MSU Denver’s AES building is also on schedule and they are in the design phase for the Wellness Center.

6. **Adjournment:**
   Meeting was adjourned at 9:06am