The meeting commenced at 4:30 p.m.

I. Welcome
Dr. Jeffrey Druck, President-Elect of the Faculty Senate, called the meeting to order.

II. Approval of Minutes of February 2014 Faculty Senate Meeting
A motion was offered to approve the minutes, which was seconded. Minutes from the February 2014 Faculty Senate Meeting were unanimously approved.

III. Dean’s Comments
Dr. Druck acknowledged the Dean’s absence and introduced Dr. Chip Ridgway to the faculty senate.

Dr. Ridgway: In June. The Dean’s search has commenced. Lilly Marks is searching for a firm. National Jewish moving seems to be going forward as has space issues for the June 30 deadline.

Dr. Druck: Todd Kingdom was chosen for inclusion on the Dean’s Search Committee.

III. Discussion and Approval Items
1. Feedback from Senators regarding At-Will Appointments
Dr. Druck: Our plan is to postpone the survey until more discussion in the departments is further along.
Dr. Peter Sachs commented about Radiology’s at will appointments.

2. Campus Plan Discussion
Dr. Druck introduced Dr. Bob Sclafani.

Dr. Sclafani: There was not much discussion in the senate minutes regarding the campus master plan. We invited the campus planner Mike Del Guidice and the architect about the master plan, which alleviated a lot of anxiety. We discussed that the master plan is a required document. Phase I will go from 2012 to 2022. There are various focus groups that reported to the planning committee, which then briefed the Dean and others. The plan is flexible. Phase I will be the building of an interdisciplinary building between RC1 south and AO-1. There are various zones on campus, reflecting the various activities on campus, and this would be a mixed zone, or multiple character districts. In other words, education, research, and clinical mixed into one building. Phase 2 would include an expansion of the Vivarium. There are plans for a data center building. Some of the concerns we
had were as follows. We need to consult with the structural biologists regarding 900 MHz NMR and nearby buildings.

Dr. Hansen: Does the master plan discuss funding of these buildings?
Mike del Guidice: Not exactly. We put forward our priorities and they get mixed in with CCHE and other priorities. Sometimes we get funding for things and sometimes not. One of the problems with Anschutz, the state is paying back monies to build this campus, and getting more money from the legislature is difficult. Anything state funded, the decision to build is the legislature’s. We received funding for the Bioengineering building, but it was not state funded, it was a cash fund source. But the Board of Regents had to approve that. Anything over $2 million has to go to the Board of Regents and then the legislature.

Dr. Cohen: Did the NMR survive the pile-drivings for the building of the Lions Institute less than a block away?

Dr. Sclafani: I don't know, but I guess so.

Dr. Heru: What was the impact of the National Jewish folks coming back and space?

Dr. Sclafani: I don't know, but it wasn't in the master plan. Anything like that should be considered, it seems to me.

Dr. Wolfel: Did you say that the interdisciplinary building includes clinical? In the past, people haven’t played together very well.

Dr. Sclafani: Yes.

Dr. Ridgway: What about the data center, is there any special consideration there?

Mike del Guidice: Yes, there are security concerns, like having the data center be on a secured 2nd or 3rd floor, and also some ideas about recycling the heat from such a facility. As we develop the plans further, we know who has space needs, but we can consult further as we go.

3. Student Life Steering Committee
Dr. Druck introduced Nichole Zehnder, Chair of the Student Life Committee.

Ms. Zehnder: I want to tell you about this committee and why we need one of you to be on it.

Ms. Zehnder then presented a PowerPoint presentation.

What is the selection process for volunteers for the committee?
Ms. Zehnder: Myself and Dean Garrity will choose form among those who submit a short letter of intent.

Dr. Cohen: Who was supervising admissions before all of this?

Ms. Zehnder: Admissions and Student affairs lived in two arms. Neither had a governing arm, so when decisions were made there was no organizing structure or centralized oversight.

Dr. Nuccio: Is student feedback going to be heard by this committee?

Ms. Zehnder: Professionalism will fall under this domain but is a complex mechanism. But they would go to other places and this committee would help with oversight of professionalism.

Dr. Wolfel: This committee looks like it handles a lot of diverse things? How will you manage this, and who will approve these activities?

Ms. Zehnder: Dean Anderson and eventually Dean Krugman. The committee is taking on a lot of diverse things. We can proceed with task forces within this committee to smoothly handle all of the various things to handle. But you’re right, lots of arms.

Dr. Druck: Any new business to address?

Dr. Druck adjourned the meeting at 5:04 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,
Michael E. Yeager, Ph.D.
Faculty Senate Secretary