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ABSTRACT

Based on the success of deep brain stimulation (DBS) for treating movement disorders, there is growing interest in using DBS to treat schizophrenia (SZ). We review the unmet needs of patients with SZ and the scientific rationale behind the DBS targets proposed in the literature in order to guide future development of DBS to treat this vulnerable patient population. SZ remains a devastating disorder despite treatment. Relapse, untreated psychosis, intolerable side effects and the lack of effective treatment for negative and cognitive symptoms contribute to poor outcome. Novel therapeutic interventions are needed to treat SZ and DBS is emerging as a potential intervention. Convergent genetic, pharmacological and neuroimaging evidence implicating neuropathology associated with psychosis is consistent with SZ being a circuit disorder amenable to striatal modulation with DBS. Many of the DBS targets proposed in the literature may modulate striatal dysregulation. Additional targets are considered for treating tardive dyskinesia and negative and cognitive symptoms. A need is identified for the concurrent development of neuropsychobiological biomarkers relevant to SZ pathology in order to inform DBS targeting. Finally, we discuss the current clinical trials of DBS for SZ, and their ethical considerations. We conclude that patients with severe symptoms despite treatment must have the capacity to consent for a DBS clinical trial in which risks can be estimated, but benefit is not known. In addition, psychiatric populations should have access to the potential benefits of neurosurgical advances.

CURRENT STATE OF TREATMENT FOR SCHIZOPHRENIA

► Schizophrenia (SZ) remains a devastating disorder despite antipsychotic, psychological and social treatments.1
► Only 10%–20% find competitive employment.1
► Relapse, antipsychotic inefficacy, intolerable side effects and lack of treatment for negative and cognitive symptoms contribute to poor outcome.1,4
► Novel therapies need to be explored and deep brain stimulation (DBS) is emerging as a potential intervention.3

SZ affects about one in a hundred. Psychosis, the hallmark symptom of SZ, includes hallucinations and/or delusions that render patients unable to discern aspects of reality. In addition, patients may experience negative and cognitive symptoms that significantly impair independent functioning. Negative symptoms, such as social withdrawal and general apathy, are prominent in 20%–40% of patients with SZ.5 Cognitive impairment affecting all domains of neuropsychological function is a common feature of the disorder. Novel pharmacological, psychological, social and neurosurgical treatments are being developed due to the minimal effect that currently available treatments have on negative and cognitive symptoms.3

With the availability of antipsychotics, 60% of patients with SZ improve long term though the majority remain disabled.1 Most antipsychotics have similar efficacy with the exception of clozapine, a notably more effective antipsychotic used in treatment-resistant patients.6 Relapse is a critical barrier to optimal recovery and 61%–82% of patients with SZ experience a relapsing and remitting disease course.1,7 Relapse contributes to alienation, accidental injury, death and possibly to progressive brain pathology.4 Despite treatment compliance, relapse occurs in 19%–30% of patients each year.2 However, relapse is primarily due to antipsychotic non-adherence, often the result of medication inefficacy and intolerable side effects.3

Most patients taking antipsychotics experience one or more side effects. These side effects include: extrapyramidal symptoms related to movement (34%–58%), hyperprolactinaemia (31%–39%), akathisia (7%–35%), sedation (47%), fatigue (60%) and sexual dysfunction (30%–80%).1 Other life-threatening side effects include agranulocytosis, impaired glucose metabolism, myocarditis, neuroleptic malignant syndrome, seizures and significant weight gain.1 In summary, novel therapeutic interventions for the treatment of SZ are needed for the 19%–25% of individuals with poor outcome, 19%–30% of individuals who consistently relapse and 15% of individuals experiencing intolerable or life-threatening antipsychotic side effects.

Precedent for DBS treatment of movement disorders has been demonstrated with the success of DBS for treatment-resistant Parkinson’s disease (PD), essential tremor (ET) and dystonia, and is being investigated for psychiatric illnesses like major depression and obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD).8–11 As for PD, ET, dystonia, major depression and OCD, we present evidence that SZ is a circuit disorder and therefore might be amenable to neuromodulation with DBS to treat disease symptoms.
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Table 1 Summary of select neuroimaging studies in schizophrenia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Ctrl</th>
<th>SZ</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Brain region results/effect size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kambeitz et al16</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>D2-like receptor availability</td>
<td>No change in D2 receptors in extrastriatal temporal cortex, thalamus or substantia nigra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yilmaz et al13</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>PET/SPECT D2 occupancy</td>
<td>Antipsychotics at 60%–80% occupancy in the striatum are correlated (r=0.35) with improvement of psychotic symptoms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howes et al21</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>PET PDA (L-DOPA uptake)</td>
<td>Presynaptic dopamine is elevated in the striatum (large effect size=0.79).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minzenberg et al44</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>594</td>
<td>ALE fMRI executive function</td>
<td>Decreased activation in DLPFC, VLPC, ACC, medial dorsal thalamus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bernard et al13</td>
<td>707</td>
<td>583</td>
<td>ALE during emotion, executive function, language, motor, reward and working memory tasks</td>
<td>46% decreased activation in the basal ganglia during tasks requiring coordination with the frontal cortex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kühn and Gallinat16</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>95 of 285 off antipsychotics</td>
<td>Hypoactivation of the left hippocampus in the default mode network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radua et al61</td>
<td>1) 439</td>
<td>1) 478</td>
<td>fMRI of monetary reward; correlation with positive and negative symptoms: 1) anticipation 2) feedback 3) prediction error</td>
<td>Hypoactivation of ventral striatum during feedback (r=−0.56 to −0.57) and reward anticipation (r=−0.5 to −0.7) that was correlated with negative symptoms (r=−0.41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adriano et al22</td>
<td>1) 2130</td>
<td>1) 1617</td>
<td>MRI volumetric analysis</td>
<td>Hippocampal reduction effect size (−0.48 to −0.65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellison-Wright and Bullmore20</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>DTI fractional anisotropy connectivity</td>
<td>Reduction in left deep white matter tract connectivity in frontal (SCGWM DBS target) and temporal lobes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sayo et al46</td>
<td>72*</td>
<td>72*</td>
<td>Ventricular-to-brain ratio SZ vs controls</td>
<td>Increased 0.3-fold in lateral ventricles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merritt et al44</td>
<td>1451</td>
<td>1686</td>
<td>Glutamate proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy</td>
<td>Increased glutamate and metabolites in basal ganglia, thalamus and hippocampus (effect size 0.32–0.63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kegeles et al41</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>PET synaptic DA</td>
<td>Increased presynaptic DA 0.67-fold in associative striatum. No change in ventral or sensorimotor striatum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eisenberg et al22</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td>3-week atypical antipsychotics effects: 1) PET presynaptic DA 2) PET rCBF 3) executive function subscale PANSS</td>
<td>Antipsychotic-induced increase in both presynaptic DA, and rCBF in VS and decreased executive function in VS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lahti et al55</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td>PET rCBF responder (n=13) vs poor responder (n=16) to antipsychotics</td>
<td>Increased rCBF in VS in responders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scholte et al73</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td>Prodomal (9 converted to psychotic vs 10 who did not) 1. CBV (2 time points) 2. volume (2 time points)</td>
<td>Decreased cerebral blood volume in the CA1 and subiculum and decreased volume in hippocampus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Bold* denotes meta-analysis.

*72 studies included with patients and control subjects.

ACC, anterior cingulate cortex; ALE, activation likelihood estimate; AS, associative striatum; CA1, cornu ammonis 1; CBV, cerebral blood volume; Ctrl, controls; D2, dopamine D2-like receptor; DA, dopamine; DBS, deep brain stimulation; DLPFC, dorsolateral prefrontal cortex; DTI, diffusion tensor imaging; fMRI, functional MRI; L-DOPA, L-3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine; PANSS, Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale; PDA, presynaptic dopamine; PET, positron emission tomography; rCBF, regional cerebral blood flow; SCGWM, subgenual cingulate white matter; SN, substantia nigra; SPECT, single-photon emission CT; SZ, schizophrenia; T, thalamus; TC, temporal cortex; TL, temporal lobe; VLPC, ventrolateral prefrontal cortex; VS, ventral striatum.

METHODS

A systematic review of the literature was performed using the search terms ‘schizophrenia’ and ‘meta’ ‘and imaging’ in PubMed in May 2017. Of the resulting studies 11 non-redundant studies were included in table 1 (in bold) in order to summarise robust findings implicating brain regions relevant to SZ.12–26 A second systematic review was performed in PubMed using the search terms ‘schizophrenia’ and ‘meta’ ‘and imaging’ in order to summarise robust findings implicating brain regions relevant to SZ (table 2, figure 1).5 9 10 16 17 25 27–42

References from these manuscripts were also considered and each DBS clinical trial site identified references that supported the scientific rationale for their trial (figure 1, table 2).5 9 10 16 17 25 27–42 Additional manuscripts related to DBS mechanisms, SZ treatment, potential SZ biomarkers, ethics and mechanistic models of circuitry involved in SZ were included (figure 1, tables 1 and 2).5 9 10 12–36

Psychosis and the striatum

- The dopamine D2 receptor gene (DRD2) is genetically associated with SZ.43
- Antipsychotic potencies are associated with affinity for dopamine D2-like receptors (D2).44
- Striatum includes the highest concentration of D2.44
- Ventral striatum (VS) and associative striatum (AS) are possible DBS targets with potential to normalise dopaminergic tone in the striatum.

Convergent and robust evidence implicates aberrancy in dopaminergic circuitry causing psychosis (tables 1 and 2; figure 1).12–26 Genetic loci involved in SZ genesis were recently identified in a large genome-wide association study.83 This study strengthened several previous findings, including the largest association with the major histocompatibility complex locus on chromosome 6, involvement of an N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor (GRIN2A) with other glutamatergic genes, and the
Table 2  Possible DBS targets and effects on schizophrenia symptoms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DBS target</th>
<th>Subterritory</th>
<th>Models of aberrant circuitry</th>
<th>Hypothetical effects of DBS</th>
<th>Positive</th>
<th>Negative</th>
<th>Cognitive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VS</td>
<td>NAc</td>
<td>1) Hippocampal disinhibition of striatum leads to ↑DA in VS.18</td>
<td>1) Block ↑ DA signalling in VS normalises striatal DA.25 29 30 31 32</td>
<td>↑ DA NAc effects on reward/motivation pathways33</td>
<td>↓ DA NAc effects on reward/motivation pathways37</td>
<td>↑ DA in PFC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2) ↑Straitsal DA inhibits GLU signalling in VS.19</td>
<td>2) Restore GLU signalling in VS.25</td>
<td>↓ DA NAc signalling needed for reward pathway3</td>
<td>Normalise reward-based learning.30</td>
<td>Normalise DA to frontal cortex.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3) ↑Straitsal DA, but ↓ L-A to reward circuit within VS (NAc) and frontal cortex27</td>
<td>3) May ↑ DA overall in striatum2</td>
<td>↑ DA in PFC</td>
<td>Normalise reward-based learning.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mPFC</td>
<td>SGCWM</td>
<td>4) Default network deactivation failure, possible overactivation33 34</td>
<td>MD disinhibition</td>
<td>Normalising striatal dopaminergic tone through ↑ DA NAc and feedforward DA spiral regulation of SN and AS35 or ↑ striatal DA may contribute to psychosis.45</td>
<td>↑ DA NAc effects on reward/motivation pathways37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNr</td>
<td>Below STN</td>
<td>5) MD inhibition86–82</td>
<td>MD disinhibition</td>
<td>Normalising striatal dopaminergic tone through ↑ DA NAc and feedforward DA spiral regulation of SN and AS35 or ↑ striatal DA may contribute to psychosis.45</td>
<td>Normalise reward/motivation pathway DA.5</td>
<td>Normalise DA signalling to PFC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VTA</td>
<td>Ascending</td>
<td>3) ↓ DA in NAc and PFC contributes to cognitive deficits.16 17</td>
<td>Possible modulation DA signalling involved in psychosis46</td>
<td>↑ DA NAc effects on reward/motivation pathways37</td>
<td>Normalise reward/motivation pathway DA.5</td>
<td>Normalise DA signalling to PFC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS</td>
<td>Region connecting to DLPFC</td>
<td>6) Cognitive deficits related to dysregulation of circuitry to prefrontal cortex16 48</td>
<td>Modulation DA signalling to PFC46</td>
<td>Normalise reward/motivation pathway DA.5</td>
<td>Normalise reward/motivation pathway DA.5</td>
<td>Normalise DA signalling to PFC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSN</td>
<td></td>
<td>1) Excess extracellular GLU in hippocampus17</td>
<td>Prevent hippocampal disinhibition of striatum, normalise striatal DA.10</td>
<td>Normalise reward/motivation pathway DA.5</td>
<td>Normalise reward/motivation pathway DA.5</td>
<td>Normalise DA signalling to PFC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPi</td>
<td>Posteroventrolateral10</td>
<td>7) Antidyskinetic treatment of tardive dyskinesia10</td>
<td>Normalise striatal DA.12</td>
<td>Normalise reward/motivation pathway DA.5</td>
<td>Normalise reward/motivation pathway DA.5</td>
<td>Normalise DA signalling to PFC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>CA1</td>
<td>1) Striatal disinhibition13 12</td>
<td>Normalise striatal DA.12</td>
<td>Normalise reward/motivation pathway DA.5</td>
<td>Normalise reward/motivation pathway DA.5</td>
<td>Normalise DA signalling to PFC.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*High levels of dopamine make NAc cells less responsive to reward-based dopamine changes leading to negative symptoms in some patients with schizophrenia (SZ) (this is also consistent with patients being more susceptible to cigarette addiction where addition occurs in subjects with smaller responses requiring more of the addictive substance). AS, associative striatum; CA1, cornu ammonis 1; DA, dopamine; DBS, deep brain stimulation; DLPFC, dorsolateral prefrontal cortex; GLU, glutamate; GPL, globus pallidus internal; H, hippocampus; MD, medial dorsal; mPFC, medial prefrontal cortex; MSN, medial septal nucleus; NAc, nucleus accumbens; PFC, prefrontal cortex; SGCWM, subgenual cingulate white matter; SN, substantia nigra; SNr, substantia nigra pars reticulata; STN, subthalamic nucleus; VS, ventral striatum; VTA, ventral tegmental area.

DRD2 gene—the D2 receptor is an essential target of antipsychotics.43 Discovered in 1950, antipsychotics are proven effective at treating psychosis and their mechanism of action provides insight into aspects of the molecular underpinnings of psychosis.44 The finding that mean doses of different antipsychotics were correlated with their binding affinity for D2 demonstrated how essential D2 binding is to antipsychotic action.44 The challenge has been to identify brain regions bearing D2 that specifically contribute to the antipsychotic effect. Although further investigation into dopaminergic changes in extrastriatal regions is warranted, D2 availability in temporal cortex, thalamus and substantia nigra was not significantly altered in patients with SZ (table 1). Relative to extrastriatal areas, D2 density is 5–20-fold higher throughout the striatum. In striatum, post-synaptic D2 has primarily been identified on a subpopulation of medium spiny neurons (the most prominent striatal cell type). D2 activation suppresses depolarisation and the molecular pathway common to antipsychotics occurs through recruitment of β-arrestin 2, a scaffolding molecule that organises a signalling cascade involving protein phosphatase 2A, AKT1 and GSK3β, to regulate β-catien-mediated signalling.45

Neuroimaging studies using positron emission tomography (PET) or single-photon emission CT have quantitated displacement of radiolabeled neurochemicals relevant to the brain dopamine transporters, D2 availability, D2 occupancy, dopamine synthesis and amphetamine-induced dopamine release. These studies indicate that D2 receptor occupancy in striatum is positively related to clinical improvement, and the therapeutic window for antipsychotics with moderate to high affinity for D2 occurs at a receptor occupancy level of 60%–80% in the striatum (table 1).19 D2 receptor numbers show a small elevation in patients with SZ.21 However, the major finding in first-episode, medication-naïve patients, and in patients receiving antipsychotic treatment, was an elevation of presynaptic dopamine in the striatum measured in multiple ways—including radiolabeled L-3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine uptake, amphetamine-induced dopamine release and basal levels of synaptic dopamine (table 1).23 Finally, striatal dysfunction may be distinct in treatment-resistant patients with SZ.46 47 Fortunately, DBS programming individually optimises therapy and may be able to compensate for some variation between patients. Still, DBS response is anticipated to vary based on target and patient selection.

The striatum serves as the primary input structure to the basal ganglia (BG), and can be divided into VS, AS and sensorimotor striatum (SMS), based on cortico-striato-thalamic topography of multiple parallel circuits (figure 2).48 Both VS and AS have been indirectly implicated in generating the psychotic symptoms. Four studies have identified higher dopamine activity in the AS relative to SMS in patients with SZ (table 1).23 22 Two PET studies identified the VS as having the most significant antipsychotic-induced change in regional cerebral blood flow (rCBF) within the striatum. Still, other areas of the striatum were also significantly changed. Results relating changes in rCBF in VS to improvement in symptoms were less consistent (table 1).12 However, one investigation went on to demonstrate that this rCBF change in VS was associated with presynaptic dopamine synthesis capacity in the VS.12 Clearly striatal modulation is warranted and regulation
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Figure 1  Striatal circuitry within the basal ganglia-thalamocortical circuit. *Potential deep brain stimulation (DBS) targets to treat schizophrenia (SZ) from table 2 and figure 3. A. Normal circuitry. B. Effects of aberrancies implicated in schizophrenia circuitry: grey arrows=glutamatergic; black arrows=dopaminergic; black striped=GABAergic; black dashed=cholinergic; ACC, anterior cingulate cortex; AS, associative striatum; DG, dentate gyrus; DLPFC, dorsolateral prefrontal cortex; dSNc, dorsal tier SNc; GPe/GPI, globus pallidus external/internal; MD, medial dorsal; mPFC, medial prefrontal cortex; MSN, medial septal nucleus; NAc, nucleus accumbens; SGCWM, subgenual cingulate white matter; SMS, sensorimotor striatum; SNc/r, substantia nigra pars compacta/pars reticulata; STN, subthalamic nucleus; VCA/VS, ventral capsule/ventral striatum; VIM, ventral intermediate nucleus; vSNc, ventral tier SNc pars compacta; VTA, ventral tegmental area.

of striatal dopamine has been described as an ascending, feedforward spiral of information flow (figure 2). Therefore, modulation of VS may influence AS and SMS dopamine levels (figure 1, table 2).

Neuromodulation of frontal and temporal lobe circuitry

- Striatum in conjunction with frontal lobe circuitry contributes to negative and cognitive symptoms.
- DBS of hippocampus and medial septal nucleus (MSN) may modulate striatal circuitry.

In addition to being implicated in psychosis, striatal dysfunction may contribute to negative and cognitive symptoms of SZ through circuitry involving the frontal and temporal lobes (table 1). Functional neuroimaging studies involving tasks assessing emotion, executive function, language, motor, reward and working memory demonstrated an overall decreased activation in BG and thalamus when these brain areas were required to coordinate with frontal cortex (table 1). Targeting AS with DBS may treat cognitive deficits in SZ due to its connectivity to dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC). DLPFC has been targeted with repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) to treat cognitive deficits with positive effects on working memory in 74 patients with SZ with active rTMS compared with 78 sham rTMS (g=0.507, P<0.01).

While VS is a primary candidate for targeting psychosis, it is also a candidate for targeting negative symptoms of SZ due to its role in the reward circuitry involving ventral tegmental area (VTA), nucleus accumbens (NAc) and prefrontal cortex. Striatal activation, assessed with functional MRI (fMRI), occurs during reward feedback and anticipation in healthy subjects. During similar reward feedback and anticipation tasks, patients with SZ demonstrated hypoactivation in VS, which was correlated with severity of negative symptoms (table 1). Together, these findings are consistent with SZ being associated with both increases in presynaptic dopamine causing psychotic symptoms and with hypoactivation of the striatum, that in conjunction with frontal lobe circuitry may contribute to cognitive and negative symptoms.

In SZ, there are significant reductions in whole-brain total grey matter overall consistently involving both cortical and hippocampal grey matter and accompanied by 0.3-fold ventricular enlargement (table 1). Reduction of cortical grey matter may be progressive and lead to ventricular enlargement, and may occur at an accelerated rate in prodromal subjects who subsequently convert to psychosis. Hippocampal volume reduction has a larger effect size noted in male patients. Reduction is bilateral and stable throughout disease course (table 1). Furthermore, the left hippocampus has been found to be
hypocarive during resting state, along with areas (eg, ventromedial prefrontal cortex) involved in the default mode network, a network activated during rest or times of assessing self-perception (table 1). Also reported are reductions in deep white matter tracts including one that traverses temporal lobe with connectivity between frontal lobe, insula, hippocampus-amyg-dala and temporal and occipital lobes (table 1). Hypoactivation measured by blood-oxygen-level dependent (BOLD) fMRI may relate to recent findings of a hypermetabolic state in the hippocampus measured with cerebral blood volume (table 1). One mechanistic model (model 1) of SZ pathology based on NMDA antagonism inducing both positive and negative symptoms encompasses the temporal finding of a hypermetabolic state initiating in the cornu ammonis 1 region of the hippocampus preceding the hyperdopaminergic state in the striatum (table 1; figures 1 and 3). Hypermetabolism may occur through the build-up of extracellular glutamate (GLU) that leads to hippocampal atrophy followed by increases in presynaptic dopamine in the striatum. Consistent with hippocampal drive, there is increased GLU and its metabolites in BG and in the hippocampus (table 1). Increased extracellular GLU without an essential cofactor may not translate to increased glutamatergic signalling. Blocking glutamatergic release within the hippocampus is a potential therapeutic target that has been difficult to achieve with pharmaceuticals, in part due to the ubiquitous nature of glutamatergic circuitry, and to the systemic nature of pharmaceutical treatment. DBS targeting the hippocampus or a hippocampal input structure, MSN, may counteract the functional consequences of the noted aberrances in the hippocampus that are thought to drive the psychotic state.  Targeting hippocampus or MSN may also treat negative and cognitive symptoms (figure 1, table 2). DBS mechanisms and biomarkers of SZ disease to inform DBS

- DBS modulates synchrony and alters oscillatory activity within neural networks.
- Intraoperative biomarkers are needed to facilitate identification of the deep brain structure being targeted.
- Biomarkers that predict an altered disease state and respond to DBS are needed to inform both intraoperative targeting and DBS programming.

A consensus has not been reached about DBS therapeutic mechanisms, which are likely target and disease specific.

Figure 2 Striatonigrostriatal ascending spiral of subcircuitry involved in striatal dopamine regulation. Closed reciprocal (CR) loop representing dopaminergic neurons projecting to distinct regions in the striatum and reciprocal inhibitory projections (double headed arrows) and disinhibitory feedforward regulation to adjacent substantia nigra (SN) regions (single headed arrows). DLPFC, dorsolateral prefrontal cortex; dSNc, dorsal tier SNc; mPFC, medial prefrontal cortex; OPFC, orbital prefrontal cortex; SNr, substantia nigra pars reticulata; vSNc, ventral tier SN pars compacta; VTA, ventral tegmental area.
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may provide benefit through different physiological mechanisms; for instance, DBS of the subthalamic nucleus in PD has been shown to modulate aberrant firing bursts, beta band oscillations and beta band coupling/synchronisation locally and within efferent structures like globus pallidus internal (GPi). Similarly, for OCD, Figee et al. identified that NAc DBS reduced low-frequency oscillations (2–5 Hz) elicited in the frontal cortex in response to OCD symptom-provoking images. In addition, fMRI brain imaging studies identified decreased NAc activity in the ventral striatal-orbitofrontal circuitry during reward anticipation relative to healthy controls that was normalised with DBS. Finally, effective DBS reduced resting state NAc hyperconnectivity to both lateral and medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) that was correlated with reduction in OCD symptoms (r=0.72). In a subsequent investigation, Figee et al. showed that NAc DBS induced release of striatal dopamine that was correlated with a 45% decrease in OCD symptoms. An optimal response time occurs after months of DBS for OCD. This is consistent with inducing neuroplasticity and studies in rats found that DBS of the NAc/VS increased expression of the neuronal plasticity marker, phosphorylated extracellular signal-regulated kinase, in medial orbitofrontal cortex neurons which correlated with reduced fear expression. Evidence supports DBS effects on local, afferent and efferent structures, with both stimulatory and inhibitory effects, modulating coherence and synchronicity, and occurring immediately via electrical modulation to months through inducing neuronal plasticity.

The NAc is a DBS target for both OCD and SZ. Similarly, mPFC is targeted in both major depressive disorder (MDD) and SZ. DBS of either NAc or mPFC targets has been shown in the maternal immune activation (MIA) rat model of SZ to prevent neuroinflammation marked by increased microglial aggregation in the hippocampus. Furthermore, DBS of mPFC prevented the development of increased ventricular volumes as well as additional SZ-related developmental abnormalities characteristic of MIA rats, including deficits in: (1) prepulse inhibition (PPI), a measure of sensory motor gating of acoustic startle; (2) disrupted latent inhibition; and (3) discrimination reversal, a measure of executive function. Effective DBS of mPFC for patients with MDD is associated with normalising increased rCBF in subgenual cingulate white matter (SGCWM) and changed activity at multiple sites within the limbic-cortical circuitry. Possibly relevant to DBS targeting mPFC to treat SZ, effective DBS targeting mPFC in MDD has been associated with theoretical activation of multiple intercepting white matter tracts, including one with connectivity to the striatum and another, the forceps minor, with connectivity to ventromedial frontal cortex. Intraoperative transient stimulation of the forceps minor induces changes in interoceptive and exteroceptive awareness. Intraoperative detection of these stimulation-induced behavioural changes is

Figure 3 Targets for deep brain stimulation (DBS) to treat symptoms of schizophrenia (SZ) shown with sagittal, axial and coronal MRIs without contrast.
indicative of optimal DBS electrode placement within mPFC to treat MDD.

For movement disorders, optimal DBS macroelectrode placement can be confirmed intraoperatively when stimulation directly alleviates symptoms of PD or ET without causing intolerable side effects. Before DBS macroelectrode placement, the region likely to provide maximal therapeutic benefit can be identified with microelectrode recordings that identify specific deep brain structures based on both characteristic single-unit activity firing patterns and by noting the physiological effects of stimulation (kinesthetic testing, induced half-smile). Identifying the stimulation-induced half-smile intraoperatively is thought to be necessary, but not sufficient for effective NAc targeting; 60% of patients with OCD treated with DBS respond with a 45.1% reduction of symptoms assessed by Yale Brown Obsessive-Compulsive Scale (YBOCS). Additional DBS treatment-responsive neurophysiological biomarkers are being investigated in OCD including PPI. In the PPI paradigm, a weaker prepulse preceding a reflexive startle-inducing pulse normally reduces the startle response measured by eye blink with electrooculogram. In both OCD and SZ, the prepulse is less effective at gating the startle response. Pharmacological investigations show that PPI is regulated by dopamine, GLU, serotonin and acetylcholine. NAc is part of the PPI circuitry and DBS of NAc, medial dorsal (MD) and mPFC targets relevant to SZ improved PPI in various rat SZ models. Therefore, the effects of DBS targeting NAc on PPI were investigated in patients with OCD and DBS improved PPI. Overall, YBOCS scores decreased by 22% in the eight patients and higher symptom severity was negatively correlated with lower PPI (r = −0.60). Further investigations are warranted to determine if PPI can be used as a treatment-responsive biomarker. Parallel experiments should be performed during DBS clinical trials for SZ that target NAc to determine whether improving PPI is associated with effective DBS.

A similar sensory processing paradigm used to assess aberrant gating in SZ, the auditory-evoked P50 response to paired clicks, has been investigated as a DBS treatment-responsive biomarker for ventral hippocampus and NAc DBS in animal models of SZ. Deficits in P50 gating are thought to reflect aberrant neuroopathology related to cognitive deficits with some studies reporting significant findings related P50 gating to attention. While PPI and P50 gating share some circuitry, P50 gating has also been mapped to DLPCF in patients with SZ—making the P50 response a potentially useful intraoperative biomarker, if detectable with local field potential (LFP), for identifying circuitry within DBS targets (Ac and substantia nigra pars reticulata (SNr)) connecting to DLPCF.

Finally, aberrant neurophysiology related to SZ assessed with EEG is being sought to inform the development of novel therapeutic interventions including identifying changes in oscillatory frequencies such as increased resting state gamma oscillations. More work needs to be done to determine if increased resting state gamma oscillations: (1) can be consistently identified in SZ; (2) can be localised to deep brain structures within specific circuitry (measured by both LFP and EEG simultaneously to assess coherence and synchrony); (3) can be associated with specific symptoms; and (4) can be normalised in response to effective therapy. Similar efforts are being pursued in PD where the effects of DBS on reducing enhanced beta-band activity, measured with LFPs, are under investigation.

Other DBS-related biomarkers relevant to treating SZ have been investigated. Dopaminergic neuron activity was normalised/reduced in the VTA in response to DBS of the ventral hippocampus raising the possibility of monitoring neurophysiology at one site for responsive stimulation at another site. In addition, development of treatment-responsive biomarkers may include DBS macroelectrodes that can sample and quantitate local neurotransmitter levels such as dopamine in the striatum or with further development GLU in the hippocampus.

Phase I clinical DBS trials to treat SZ

NCT0237505 targets: (1) mPFC; and (2) NAc—62% reduction in positive and 33% improvement in negative symptoms (https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT0237505).


NCT01725334 targets: (1) NAc; and (2) VTA (withdrawn due to difficulty enrolling patients; https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01725334).

There are two reports of DBS targeting NAc (VC/VS) in patients with SZ. In a patient with both OCD and residual SZ, symptoms of OCD and psychosocial functioning were 25%–58% improved with unilateral stimulation of NAc. However, the patient’s predominant negative symptoms of SZ were not significantly changed by DBS. Importantly, DBS did not cause symptoms of psychosis.

The response of the first patient with SZ treated with DBS targeting NAc in the clinical trial (NCT0237505) at Barcelona, Spain, was recently reported to show a 62% reduction in positive symptoms and 33% improvement in negative symptoms after 4 weeks of unilateral left side stimulation. Akathisia occurred immediately during bilateral stimulation and after adjusting to unilateral stimulation, the patient experienced a relapse of negative symptoms, with her positive symptoms remaining improved over baseline. Treatment-resistant patients are randomised to either mPFC or NAc DBS targeting, with stimulation on until the patient is clinically stabilised. Then, responsive patients are crossed over to either a stimulation ‘on’ or a stimulation ‘off’ group for 3 months. The mPFC target includes the SGWM, which has been targeted experimentally for treatment-resistant major depression (figure 3, table 2). Therefore, targeting SGWM may treat negative symptoms. In addition, this target includes the anterior midline node of the default network that normally deactivates during attention-demanding tasks. In SZ, there is a failure to deactivate during attention-demanding tasks. At the time of writing, this trial has recruited seven of a planned eight patients (four for each of the two implantation targets), of whom six have progressed to activation of stimulation (one patient suffered postoperative complications which ultimately required removal of the electrodes).

In the DBS clinical trial (NCT02361554) to treat positive and cognitive symptoms of SZ at Johns Hopkins University (JHU), SNr—a major outflow nucleus of the BG—is targeted to modulate MD thalamus. Both MD and the lateral prefrontal cortex (including DLPCF) with strong reciprocal connections have reduced regional glucose metabolic rates during both spatial attention and verbal learning tasks in unmedicated SZ. Similarly, investigations using a mouse model that recapitulates the lowered MD activity identified in SZ, identified associations between low MD activity with both decreased beta-band thalamo-cortical synchrony measured with LFP and working memory impairment. The MD is also a proposed DBS target for treating anxiety and SZ cognitive symptoms and MD stimulation results in increased transcription of a genetic marker of neural plasticity, zif-268, in frontal cortex in rats. In addition, lesions of the MD have been associated with new-onset psychosis. Therefore, DBS targeting the subterritory of the SNr with afferent...
projections to the MD may modulate both the aberrant network activity of the BG and downstream circuitry (MD and lateral prefrontal cortex). The inhibitory projection from SNr to MD is a shared projection in both BG ‘associative’ and ‘ventral’ circuits. Normalising MD activity may provide improvement in both cognitive and positive symptoms. The JHU DBS Team based their assumption about MD on the evidence that the thalamus plays a central integrative role in structures that modulate motor and cognitive circuits like BG and cerebellum.

The closed DBS clinical trial (NCT01725334) to treat negative symptoms of SZ at University Health Network in Toronto, Canada, proposed two target areas: VS/NAc and VTA. Targeting VS/NAc may treat both positive and negative symptoms of SZ by balancing the dopaminergic tone in the striatum. Recently, a prospective study of anterior capsulotomy without a comparison group reported improvement in 74% of the 100 patients with SZ at a 2-year follow-up. Consistent with other reports in the Chinese literature, improvement in aggressive behaviour, psychosis and negative symptoms were reported. For OCD, the effective of both capsulotomy and DBS of VS/VC/NAc is similar and DBS of VS/NAC to treat SZ may indirectly validate the capsulotony findings; the first person with SZ treated in the DBS clinical trial (NCT0237505) targeting VS/NAc did show improvement in both positive and negative symptoms.

Both VS/NAc and VTA are involved in the reward and motivation pathway that may relate to negative symptoms of SZ. Based on intracranial self-stimulation research used to map circuitry involved in reward in humans and rodents, VTA stimulation is pleasurable. VTA dopamine release into the striatum is modulated by NAc in conjunction with the hippocampus (figure 1). In a mechanistic model (model 3) of SZ, high dopamine levels in the striatum accompanied decreased dopamine levels in the prefrontal cortex from mesocortical VTA dopaminergic neurons. Stimulating VTA increases dopamine in the VS/NAc and increases activation of cortical structures such as DLPCF in swine, supporting the idea that negative symptoms of SZ may be treated by targeting VTA. Likewise, cognitive deficits caused by low dopamine levels in the cortex may be reduced.

DBS clinical trial #NCT01725334 for the treatment of SZ was closed due to difficulties enrolling patients. One patient met the enrolment criteria and was interested in the clinical trial, but instead chose to participate in a different clinical trial. Similarly, DBS clinical trial #NCT02361554 has not yet enrolled a patient, though several patients have been considered for enrollment. Using the Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved investigational device exemption (IDE) for JHU, additional sites (Columbia and University of Colorado) have been added to this trial as a strategy to increase enrolment. Multiple factors have contributed and may continue to contribute to difficulties with patient enrolment. The lack of both drive and sense of purpose associated with negative symptoms may hinder patient participation. Similarly, the nature of the delusional experience, itself, has prevented some patients from participating. Clinical trial #NCT02361554 enrolled two patients who decided ultimately to withdraw from the study for the reason that their delusional experience could not be changed by DBS because it was ‘real’ to them. This is in contrast to patients with OCD and patients with major depression. Clinical trial #NCT02361554 declined a patient referral from a forensic facility where the patient’s psychiatrist raised the issue about equal rights of forensic versus non-forensic patient participation. It is possible that as awareness is raised in patients, family members and psychiatrists regarding the potential therapeutic benefits that DBS may provide, that study enrollment will accelerate. DBS clinical trial #NCT0237505 has successfully enrolled seven patients. The DBS clinical trials to date have been funded through grants (NCT0237505 and NCT01725334), and by seeking FDA, IDE along with Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services approval for reimbursement (NCT02361554).

**Ethics of DBS to treat SZ**

- Patients with severe symptoms despite treatment must have the capacity to consent for a DBS clinical trial in which risks can be estimated, but benefit is not known.
- Psychiatric populations should have access to the potential benefits of neurosurgical advances.

Vigilant evaluation of ethical concerns is paramount when considering the investigation of DBS for patients with SZ (SZ-DBS). The controversial history of psychosurgery may lead many to be wary of DBS. However, when we review the ethical principles in the Belmont Report, with respect to beneficence, respect for persons and justice, the picture is favourable. The FDA Humanitarian Device Exemption approval of DBS for OCD can also provide a model for the ethical delivery of DBS in psychiatric disorders.

The first principle, beneficence, requires an acceptable risk/benefit ratio. A significant proportion of patients with SZ remain severely impaired and non-responsive to standard treatment. DBS may potentially help such patients. The risks of DBS must be weighed against these potential benefits. The risks include: procedure-related adverse effects such as symptomatic haemorrhage (0.78%–1.1%); infection (1.7%–6.1%); device-related effects such as battery failure or lead fracture (requiring surgical replacement and additional risk); and stimulation-related effects. DBS for SZ, then, involves ‘more than a minor increase over minimal risk’ but also offers the prospect of direct medical benefit. As the risk/benefit ratio increases, there must be increased certainty about an individual’s capacity to consent.

The second principle, respect for persons, is embodied in informed consent. The two ethical considerations are the right for individuals to make autonomous decisions and the protection of those with diminished autonomy. There must be additional ethical justification when researching a vulnerable population such as patients with SZ. Patients with SZ, as a group, perform more poorly than controls on measures of capacity. For example, MacArthur Competence Assessment Tool for Clinical Research (MacCAT-CR SZ) patients score in an impaired range in 22.6% of the cases, and on the California Scale of Appreciation (CSA) the three raters found 7.7%, 10.3% and 12.8% incapable. It should be noted that the subjects of the CSA study were all outpatients, while the subjects in the MacArthur study were inpatients. In the Carpenter et al’s study, capacity varied widely, and SDs were large. Of patients with SZ who initially performed poorly, a week-long educational intervention resulted in no difference in the mean scores between the SZ group and the normal controls. This patient cohort was skewed towards those with treatment-resistant chronic SZ, likely similar to those who would be DBS candidates. Two additional studies demonstrate the effectiveness of improving comprehension using enhanced consent in patients with SZ. Based on these studies, two recommendations include: (1) the capacity to consent to DBS should be assessed by a psychiatrist with extensive experience in capacity evaluation, using a standardised measure of capacity such as the MacCAT-CR, the more clinically feasible MacCAT-Treatment or the CSA; and (2) patients who lack capacity upon presentation may have capacity restored with an educational intervention.
The third principle, justice, includes equity and fairness in healthcare, and new treatments or experimental procedures should be available to all people equally. Psychiatric populations, including patients with treatment-resistant, severe SZ should have access to the potential benefits of neurosurgical advances.

In 2002, the OCD-DBS Collaborative Group developed ethics recommendations for DBS in OCD,46 of relevance to SZ-DBS. These include: institutional review board (IRB) (or equivalent ethics committee) providing oversight; evaluating capacity and obtaining informed consent (processes monitored by a multidisciplinary committee); SZ-DBS candidates meeting defined criteria for severity, chronicity, disability and treatment resistance; excluding those without a capacity to consent; allowing freedom to withdraw from the research; intervention offered at a clinical research centre; assessment and treatment done by a multidisciplinary team which includes a neurosurgeon experienced in DBS and a psychiatrist experienced in the treatment of those with SZ; disclosure of investigator conflict of interest to patients and the IRB; SZ-DBS performed only for the benefit of the patient (to relieve distress and suffering); and SZ-DBS never performed for law enforcement or social/political purposes.

To aid in appropriate patient selection, severity, chronicity, disability and treatment refractoriness in SZ must be defined:

- **Diagnosis**
  - Made via a standardised interview.6

- **Severity**
  - Severe symptoms (cognitive, positive or negative)—rating of symptom levels of at least severe of one or more items on a validated scale such as the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS) or the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) at baseline measurement.

- **Chronicity**
  - Persistence of symptoms for at least 12 weeks despite adequate pharmacotherapy.6

- **Disability**
  - Functional impairment as measured by a validated scale, clinical interview and history.

- **Treatment refractoriness**
  - Adequate pharmacotherapy—trials of at least two antipsychotic medications at doses greater than or equal to 600 mg of chlorpromazine equivalents for at least 6 weeks each with at least 80% adherence and failure to respond to an adequate trial of clozapine (at least 3 months with a therapeutic plasma level).6
    - Treatment-resistant patients with severe symptoms who are unable to tolerate clozapine at the recommended dose or duration may also be included in the clinical trial.

The NCT02361534 trial (SNr) defines severe as at least a score of 6 on two of the three BPRS positive symptom scales at three baseline visits prior to surgery. The NCT0237505 trial uses persistence of positive symptoms defined as (1) a score of 4 (mild) or more on at least two of the PANSS items: delusions, hallucinatory behaviour, suspiciousness and unusual thought content; or (2) requiring a score of 6 (severe) or more on at least one of the above PANSS items. Several patients with SZ have provided informed consent and were safely treated with DBS.10 28 78

The use of neuromodulation for the treatment of SZ is a controversial subject, and underscores the need for a cautious, thoughtful approach to trial design, so that this vulnerable patient population—that may benefit from SZ-DBS—is protected. As with any clinical trial, the ethical principles of the Belmont Report—including beneficence, respect for persons and justice—provide the critical framework in which such efforts must be tackled. The successful experience with DBS for the treatment of OCD provides a foundation for the ethical delivery of DBS in a population of patients with psychiatric illnesses, and thus has important implications for the treatment of SZ. Finally, appropriate subject selection is always crucial, and subjects enrolled in an SZ-DBS clinical trial should meet criteria for ‘ultra-treatment resistance’, and continue to experience severe symptoms and functional impairment for at least 12 weeks prior to DBS treatment, as measured by standardised psychiatric rating scales. Thorough and responsible consideration of these factors will contribute to ensuring the ethical investigation of SZ-DBS.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In summary, evidence is consistent with SZ being a circuit disorder similar to other disorders treated with DBS. Several lines of evidence together support modulating the striatum with DBS for the treatment of SZ, including: (1) the specificity of antipsychotics for dopamine D2-like receptors that are concentrated in striatum; (2) the occupancy of D2-like receptors in the striatum is associated with effective treatment; (3) increased levels of presynaptic dopamine in the striatum of patients with SZ (may be lower in treatment-resistant patients); (4) genetic findings of association between the DRD2 gene and SZ. Effectively modulating the striatum may be achieved by targeting input structures such as VTA, hippocampus or MSN, targeting the associative and/or VS (including NAc) directly, or targeting BG output structures such as SNr and/or GPi. The targets in ongoing DBS clinical trials include SNr, NAc and mPFC. There are several potential advantages to DBS treatment that may complement antipsychotic treatment by providing a unique therapeutic mechanism that may benefit otherwise treatment-resistant patients, reduce relapse rates, reduce intensity of residual psychosis, improve cognitive impairment and reduce negative symptoms. The potential benefits of DBS neurosurgical intervention warrant clinical trials in treatment-resistant patients or patients experiencing life-threatening antipsychotic side effects who are capable of providing informed consent.
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