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What are disparities

• Disparities in Health
  – Differences in the health of racial or ethnic minorities versus non Hispanic whites

• Disparities in Access to Care
  – Differentials in access to health care by racial or ethnic minorities versus non Hispanic whites

• Disparities in Health Care
  – Differences in quality of health care received by racial or ethnic minorities versus non Hispanic whites
What is Race? / What is Ethnicity?

7. Is Person 1 Spanish/Hispanic/Latino? Mark the "No" box if not Spanish/Hispanic/Latino.
   - No, not Spanish/Hispanic/Latino
   - Yes, Puerto Rican
   - Yes, Mexican, Mexican Am., Chicano
   - Yes, Cuban
   - Yes, other Spanish/Hispanic/Latino — Print group.

8. What is Person 1’s race? Mark one or more races to indicate what this person considers himself/herself to be.
   - White
   - Black, African Am., or Negro
   - American Indian or Alaska Native — Print name of enrolled or principal tribe.
   - Asian Indian
   - Japanese
   - Native Hawaiian
   - Chinese
   - Korean
   - Guamanian or Chamorro
   - Filipino
   - Vietnamese
   - Samoan
   - Other Asian — Print race.
   - Other Pacific Islander — Print race.
   - Some other race — Print race.
More Disparities Groups

- Socio-economic / Class
- Gender
- Age
- LGBT
- Rural/ urban
- Obesity
- Disabilities
What do Latinos Think of Race???

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race</th>
<th>Hispanic or Latino</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Percent of Hispanic population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>35,305,818</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One race</td>
<td>33,081,736</td>
<td>93.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>16,907,852</td>
<td>47.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American</td>
<td>710,353</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian and Alaska Native</td>
<td>407,073</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>119,829</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander</td>
<td>45,326</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some other race</td>
<td>14,891,303</td>
<td>42.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two or more races</td>
<td>2,224,082</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Not Hispanic or Latino                    |                      |                             |                             |
|                                           | Number              | Percent of non-Hispanic population | Percent of total population |
| Total                                     | 246,116,088         | 100.0                         | 87.5                        |
| One race                                  | 241,513,942         | 98.1                          | 85.8                        |
| White                                     | 194,552,774         | 79.1                          | 69.1                        |
| Black or African American                 | 33,947,837          | 13.8                          | 12.1                        |
| American Indian and Alaska Native         | 2,068,883           | 0.8                           | 0.7                         |
| Asian                                     | 10,123,169          | 4.1                           | 3.6                         |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander| 353,509             | 0.1                           | 0.1                         |
| Some other race                           | 467,770             | 0.2                           | 0.2                         |
| Two or more races                         | 4,602,146           | 1.9                           | 1.6                         |

- Percentage rounds to 0.0.


48% of all Latinos consider themselves white and 42% or all Latinos refused to classify themselves as white or black
Disparities in Health
Infant Mortality

National vital statistics reports; vol 54 no 16. NCHS. 2006.
## Health: CV Dz

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NHWs</th>
<th>blacks</th>
<th>Hispanics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CV disease</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NCHS, 2002 mortality data Age adjusted death rates/ per 100,000

http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/hus/hus04trend.pdf#topic
### Cancer Mortality Rates Women

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Breast</th>
<th>Cervical</th>
<th>Colon</th>
<th>Lung</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>33.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>34.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian/P.I.</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latina</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Am. Indian/Alaska Native</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>20.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Per 100,000
Age Adjusted Death Rates

- NHW 855 / (per 100,000)
- Black 1,126
- Hispanic 670
- Asian 517

US Health, 2003
Latino paradox

• Many studies link poverty to poor health
• Latinos are poorer than African Americans but have lower overall mortality rates, death from cancer and heart disease, infant mortality than AAs/whites
• Latino Paradoxes
  – Infant mortality
  – Cardiovascular disease
  – Cancer
Latino paradox

- What causes the paradox? Theories:
  - “Healthy immigrant”; “salmon” hypotheses
  - Strong social/family networks
  - Low tobacco and ETOH use especially in women
  - Religiosity
  - Traditional healing practices
  - Traditional diet
  - ? Dancing
### Salmon Hypothesis: Mortality of Latinos vs NHWs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age, y</th>
<th>US-Born Latinos vs US-Born Whites, Hazard Ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>Foreign-Born Latinos vs US-Born Whites, Hazard Ratio (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–44</td>
<td>0.59 (0.44, 0.80)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.56 (0.37, 0.85)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45–64</td>
<td>0.60 (0.51, 0.71)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.27 (0.18, 0.41)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥65</td>
<td>0.62 (0.53, 0.72)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.62 (0.49, 0.79)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–44</td>
<td>0.49 (0.33, 0.73)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.45 (0.23, 0.85)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45–64</td>
<td>0.65 (0.52, 0.79)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.31 (0.19, 0.52)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥65</td>
<td>0.59 (0.49, 0.71)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.60 (0.46, 0.78)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AJPH 1999; 89;1543
### Prevalence of DM and IFG

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NHWS</th>
<th>Blacks</th>
<th>Mex-AM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diag DM</strong></td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UnDX DM</strong></td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NHANES 99-02 data Adjusted for gender and age

Source: *Diabetes Care* 29:1263-1268, 2006
Diabetes Prevalence-diagnosed/undiagnosed

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whites</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blacks</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexicans</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puerto Ricans</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cubans</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Even after adjust weight, SES, Hispanics 2-3 times more likely have DM
- Africans Americans 1.5 times more likely to have Diabetes

Luchsinger J. “Diabetes” in Health Issues in the Latino Community, 2001
Deaths due to diabetes — racial/ethnic disparities are widening

Deaths per 100,000 adults


Race/ethnicity

- White
- Black
- Hispanic

Rates are age-adjusted.
Sources: Bureau of Vital Statistics, NYC DOHMH; U.S. Census 1990 and 2000/NYC Department of City Planning
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NHWs</th>
<th>Blacks</th>
<th>Hispanics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dementia Prevalence</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidence AD/prob AD</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is the USA bad for your health??

Figure 4. Percent of selected risk factors/chronic diseases among foreign-born Hispanic adults, by length of stay: United States, 1998–2003

NOTE: Estimates are age adjusted to the 2000 U.S. standard population.
DATA SOURCE: National Health Interview Survey, 1998-2003. Data are based on household interviews of a sample of the civilian noninstitutionalized population.

## Adjusted Effect on HbA1C*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Predicted Marginal</th>
<th>Std Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>5.54</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter</td>
<td>5.53</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>5.68</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- N=1689, R squared = 0.13,
- * NS
### Adjusted Effect on LDL*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Predicted Marginal</th>
<th>Std Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- R squared = 0.15
- * P < .05
- N = 721
Disparities in Health Care

• Disparities in Access to Care
  – Health Insurance
  – Regular Provider

• Disparities in Receipt of Quality Health Care
Disparities in Access

Health Insurance Coverage: 2006

- NHW's: 11%
- Blacks: 20%
- Asians: 15%
- Hispanics: 33%

Shah & Carrasquillo Health Affairs Nov 06
Cancer Screening

Disparities In Health Care
Racial/Ethnic Disparities in access to Cardio-Vascular procedures

- 27 studies using administrative data
  - OR for blacks getting cath (.41-.94)
  - CABG (.23-.68)
- 28 studies with detailed clinical data
  - Cath (.03-.85)
  - CABG (.22-.68)
- 14 studies examining why not done
  - Some due to pt refusal –education imp
  - Physician bias still caused a lot of variation

• Annals of Internal Medicine 2001;135:352-366

Figure 1. Age-Adjusted National Rates of Total Hip Replacement (Panel A), Carotid Endarterectomy (Panel B), and Coronary-Artery Bypass Grafting (CABG) (Panel C) per 1000 Persons Enrolled in Medicare, 1992 through 2001.
Disparities in Health Care Quality

EFFECT OF RACE AND SEX ON PHYSICIANS’ RECOMMENDATIONS FOR CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION

E

F

G

H
Figure 1. Patients as Portrayed by Actors in the Video Component of the Survey.
Panel A shows a 55-year-old black woman, Panel B a 55-year-old black man, Panel C a 70-year-old black woman, Panel D a 70-year-old black man, Panel E a 55-year-old white woman, Panel F a 55-year-old white man, Panel G a 70-year-old white woman, and Panel H a 70-year-old white man.
Trends in Opioid Prescribing by Race/Ethnicity for Patients Seeking Care in US Emergency Departments

- NHWs patients with pain were more likely to receive an opioid (31%) than black (23%), Hispanic (24%), or Asian/other patients (28%) \((P < .001 \text{ for trend})\)
- Differential prescribing by race/ethnicity was evident for all types of pain visits, was more pronounced with increasing pain severity, and was detectable for long-bone fracture and nephrolithiasis as well as among children
- Statistical adjustment for pain severity and other factors did not substantially attenuate these differences

Another way to Quantify Disparities

### TABLE 4—Adjusted Per Capita Health Care Expenditures Among US-Born Persons and Immigrants of All Ages, by Race/Ethnicity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race/Ethnicity</th>
<th>Per Capita Expenditures, $</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>US-Born Persons (SE)</td>
<td>Immigrants (SE)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic White</td>
<td>3117 (40)</td>
<td>1747 (115)**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic Black</td>
<td>2524 (80)</td>
<td>1030 (123)**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>1870 (60)</td>
<td>962 (53)**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian/Pacific Islander</td>
<td>1460 (198)</td>
<td>1324 (82)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note. Data are from the 1998 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey and the 1996-1997 National Health Interview Survey.*

*Mean per capita expenditures were predicted by a 2-part model with adjustments for age, poverty level, insurance status, and patient-reported health status.

**P < .001 (for comparison with US born).
Addressing Disparities
Which one do you want to fix?

• Disparities in Health
  – Differences in the health of racial or ethnic minorities versus non Hispanic whites

• Disparities in Access to Care
  – Differentials in access to health care by racial or ethnic minorities versus non Hispanic whites

• Disparities in Health Care
  – Differences in quality of health care received by racial or ethnic minorities versus non Hispanic whites
Addressing Disparities

• What is the Goal
  – Improve Minority Health
  – Eliminate Disparities
    • To same mediocre level as everyone else?

• At what level is intervention possible
• What works?
As IOM report indicates discrimination is a way of life: environmental factors and factors that affect behavior are unequally distributed.
Addressing Disparities in Health

• Address social determinants of health
  – Poverty
  – Education
  – Housing
  – Environment
  – social welfare issues
What else: Addressing the Genetic /Biologic Basis of Disparities

- SNPs that are racially specific
- Common to:
  - 1 group
  - 2 groups
  - 3 groups
  - 4 groups

25% of SNPs are Pan Racial

Biological Determinants of Disparities
Genes or Environment

• Hypertension in blacks
  – Why are Africans not hypertensive
  – Differences is K channels due to Na intake

• Diabetes in Pima Indians
  – In Mexico much lower risk of DM in Pimas

• In the case of Mexican-Americans, genetic admixture seems to have a clear role
  – American indigenous people have very high prevalences of diabetes compared to Whites
  – Diabetes much more prevalent in those in Barrios than those in wealthy neighborhoods
  – So what is it in PRs?
Figure 3. Seven- to 8-year incidence of type 2 diabetes by ethnicity and type of neighborhood \( P = .001 \), Mexican American group, \( P = .01 \), non-Hispanic white group (\( \chi^2 \) test).
The Latino Gene?

• There is no Latino SNP!
  – Latinos as a genetic group not c/w modern concepts of biology and evolution
• Latinos very genetically homogeneous
  – PRs very different from Mexicans
  – Mexican Spaniards very diff from Mayans
Working Together For Equality In Healthcare

All over this country the crisis in our healthcare system is a burning issue, and people are demanding that politicians resolve it.

The Latino community is suffering greatly because of health disparities and lack of coverage. We have 47 million uninsured - 15.5 million of them are Latinos.

There are over 50 million with inadequate coverage, and millions more declare bankruptcy every year unable to pay mounting medical bills.

Latinos must get involved in seeking a solution to this crisis that is just to all; fair to the needs of our community. Latinos need to be sure our demands are not ignored.

That solution, we believe, is a national health insurance system: publicly financed, privately delivered. We believe that the first step to end health disparities is to ensure that everyone has coverage.

Join us in our efforts to guarantee good, quality health insurance for every person in this nation from the time they are born, and regardless of prior health problems and immigration status.
Why was LNHI on the sidelines

• Coverage was inequitable
• What else was bad
  – 50% of Uninsured Latinos not eligible
  – Does not eliminate 5 year rule
  – PR and territories still get ripped off by Medicaid and Medicare
  – Public Option not included
• The good
  – Medicaid expansion to adults
  – CHC funding
• Ended up neither supporting nor endorsing
What is Medicare for All

• Medicare for ALL
  – Publicly financed but private delivery system under local control.
  – One entity through regional offices pay all bills
  – Hospitals not owned by government
  – Doctors not salaried by government
  – Through admin savings slash health care costs

• AKA
  – National health insurance
  – Single payer health insurance
Medicare for all

• All individual’s residing in US (+ territories) are covered
• Coverage that is permanent and irrevocable
• Coverage should not be tied to employment
• Coverage that is equitable
  – Poor do not get Medicaid
  – They get what every one gets
  – Does one size fit all?
Addressing Disparities in Health Care

• Health and access to care are too hard to fix
• We should be able to address disparities in health care
2002 IOM Disparities Report

- Increase Awareness of Disparities
- Increase Disparities Research
- Increase workforce diversity
- Increase cultural competency training
Increase awareness

• 55% of physicians agree with the statement, “Across the United States, minority patients generally receive lower quality care than white patients”

• 33% of cardiologists believed that disparities in cardiac care occurred nationally and even fewer (5%) believed they might exist in their own practices
Schools Self-Assessment of Success in Meeting Diversity Goals

1 - least successful; 10 - most successful
Conceptual model for factors assoc with racial variations in procedure use
Figure 1
Conceptual Model for Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Health Care

- SOCIAL NORMS
  - Government
    - Financing
  - Nongovernment
    - Professional Bodies
    - Orgs. Focused on QI

- PATIENT ENVIRONMENT
  - COMMUNITY
  - PERSON
  - PROVIDER
  - PATIENT
  - PROCESSES OF CARE
  - OUTCOMES

- HEALTH CARE ENVIRONMENT
  - PAYORS
  - STANDARDS

Legend:
- Orgs. Focused on QI = Organizations Focused on Quality Improvement.
Interventions to Reduce Disparities in Health Care

• Looked at CV dz (htn, lipids, smoking), diabetes, depression, cancer
• Multifactorial interventions that address patient, provider, organization and community factors most effective
  – Simple magic bullets are elusive
• Culturally tailored approaches
• Multi-disciplinary interventions (nurse led, CHWs, pharmacists)

Chin et al, Medical Care Research and Review 2007; 64;7 (supplment)
A Systematic Review of Interventions to Improve Diabetes Care in Socially Disadvantaged Populations

- 7 databases searched for articles 1986-2004, 17 studies found
- Interventions that were consistently associated with the largest negative outcomes:
  - those that used mainly didactic teaching
  - focused only on diabetes knowledge.

*Diabetes Care* 29:1675-1688, 2006
What works?

– Features most consistent positive effects
  • cultural tailoring of the intervention
  • community educators or lay people leading the intervention
  • one-on-one interventions with individualized assessment and reassessment
  • incorporating treatment algorithms
  • focusing on behavior-related tasks
  • providing feedback
  • high-intensity interventions (>10 contact times) delivered over a long duration (6 months)
CHUM HTN Research

• Improving BP control in older minority adults with poorly-controlled HTN.
• MINT is a directive, participant-centered, counseling approach for initiating and maintaining behavior change,
• Test the effect on BP reduction, of a senior center-based MINT-TLC intervention, delivered through group-based counseling and motivational interviewing in a randomized controlled trial (RCT).
NOCHOP: Northern Manhattan Diabetes Community Outreach Project

- A randomized controlled clinical trial (RCT) of 360 poorly controlled diabetic patients aged 35-70 to examine the effectiveness of a community based Community Health Worker (CHW) intervention in addressing the ABCs of diabetes care (HgA1c, Blood Pressure[BP], Cholesterol).
Miami Health Heart Initiative

- Among Latino patients with poorly controlled diabetes determine if community health workers are an effective complement to help improve cardiovascular risk factors
  - Blood pressure
  - Lipids
RC1 Challenge grant

• After minority patients undergo coronary artery stent procedure, they are much less likely to adhere to taking medications that prevent these stents from clotting.
• Randomized study to examine the impact of a phone based behavioral intervention at improving adherence among minority patients after such a procedure.
Use one grant to get more

- Disparity in adherence to statin medication
- Use insurer claim files to design intervention to improve statin adherence
- Nurse led phone based MI intervention
- Able to trouble shoot issues/ case worker role
ACS Award

- Standard letters sent to patients after an abnormal mammogram are often at a literacy level too complex for many patients to understand.
- Conducting research on ways mammography centers can improve how they communicate these results to such patients.
- Fu with an RCT of revised mammogram recall letters.
Help others see if what they did worked

- Large minority serving health organization
- A1C and LDL only 50% at goal
- Have an EMR and claims data
- QuikMeds automated point of care medication dispensing system
- Pre-post design see if intervention improved proportion reaching target
What can be done

• Studies must involve multi-disciplinary approaches
• Test approaches that help bypass barriers minorities may face
• These novel and complex community participatory interventions are being tested through rigorous clinical trial designs
Will that be enough? **NO!!!**

- Social determinants of health
  - Poverty
  - Education
  - Housing
  - Environment
  - Racism
- Equitable Universal Insurance
- Address these and will eliminate disparities
E-mail me

oc6@columbia.edu