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Objectives

Appreciate the ongoing and active efforts at redesign of medical education and health delivery systems

Recognize how education redesign can facilitate redesign of the clinical delivery system and vice versa

Identify opportunities in which you can facilitate the alignment of the educational and clinical missions of teaching institutions
(Very rough) History of US Medical Education

Flexner Report establishing new curriculum
Internships, hosp-based residencies
Growth of Specialties
Calls for competency-based training
Recognized need to evaluate for competence
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Paradigm Shift of CBME

- Crossing the Quality Chasm (2001)
- Graduate medical education financing: Focusing on educational priorities (2010)

Timeline:
- 2000
- 2010
Competency-Based Medical Education

CBME is an outcomes-based approach to the design, implementation and evaluation of a medical education program using an organizing framework of competencies.

The International CBME Collaborators
Frank et al. Med Teach, 2010
## Traditional vs. CBME

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Educational Program</th>
<th>Competency-based</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Curriculum</strong></td>
<td>Structure/Process</td>
<td>Competency-based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Content</td>
<td></td>
<td>Outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goal of educ. encounter</strong></td>
<td>Knowledge acquisition</td>
<td>Knowledge application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assessment tool</strong></td>
<td>Proxy</td>
<td>Authentic (mimic real tasks of profession)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Setting for evaluation</strong></td>
<td>Removed (gestalt)</td>
<td>Direct observation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Evaluation</strong></td>
<td>Norm-referenced</td>
<td>Criterion-referenced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Timing of assessment</strong></td>
<td>Emphasis on summative</td>
<td>Emphasis on formative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Program Completion</strong></td>
<td>Fixed time</td>
<td>Variable time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from: Carracchio et al. *Acad Med*, 2002
Traditional vs. CBME

Frenk et al. *Lancet*, 2010
Curricular Redesign

In 2012, 75% of allopathic medical schools had initiated substantial curricular reform projects

- Earlier clinical experiences
- Integration of basic sciences and clinical care
- Interprofessional education


Anderson & Kantner. *Acad Med*, 2010
Curricular Content

Emphasis on competencies beyond medical knowledge and direct patient care

• Teams and systems
• Value and Quality
• Transitions of care
• Health disparities, cultural competence, diversity

Anderson & Kantner. Acad Med, 2010
Curricular Structure

New pedagogical models that embrace technology and learning styles of new learners

• Simulation, virtual reality
• Problem-based and team learning
• “Flipped” classrooms
• Massive Open Online Course (MOOC)
• E-learning and modular formats

Anderson & Kantner. *Acad Med*, 2010
Traditional vs. CBME

Frenk et al.  
*Lancet*, 2010
The Next GME Accreditation System — Rationale and Benefits

Thomas J. Nasca, M.D., M.A.C.P., Ingrid Philibert, Ph.D., M.B.A., Timothy Brigham, Ph.D., M.Div., and Timothy C. Flynn, M.D.

In 1999, the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME) introduced the six domains of clinical competency to the profession, and in 2009, it began a multiyear process of restructuring its accreditation system to be based on educational outcomes in these competencies. The result of this effort is the Next Accreditation System (NAS), scheduled for phased implementation beginning in July 2013. The aims of the NAS are threefold: to enhance the ability of the peer-review system to prepare physicians for practice in the 21st century, to accelerate the ACGME’s movement toward accreditation on the basis of educational outcomes, and to reduce variations in quality of education.

LIMITATIONS OF THE CURRENT SYSTEM

When the ACGME was established in 1981, the GME environment was facing two major stresses: variability in the quality of resident education and the emerging formalization of subspecialty education. In response, the ACGME’s approach emphasized program structure, increased the amount and quality of formal teaching, fostered a balance between service and education, promoted resident evaluation and feedback, and required financial and benefit support for trainees. These dimensions were incorporated into program requirements that became increasingly

Next Accreditation System - Aims

Enhance the ability of our peer-review system to prepare physicians for practice in the 21st century

Reduce the burden associated with the current structure and process-based approach to accreditation

Accelerate the ACGME’s movement towards accreditation on the basis of educational outcomes
The Next GME Accreditation System — Rationale and Benefits
Thomas J. Nasca, M.D., M.A.C.P., Ingrid Philibert, Ph.D., M.B.A., Timothy Brigham, Ph.D., M.Div., and Timothy C. Flynn, M.D.

In 1999, the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME) introduced the six domains of clinical competency to the profession,¹ and in 2009, it began a multiyear process of restructuring its accreditation system to be based on educational outcomes in these competencies. The result of this effort is the Next Accreditation System (NAS), scheduled for phased implementation beginning in July 2013. The aims of the NAS are threefold: to enhance the ability of the peer-review system to prepare physicians for practice in the 21st century, to accelerate the ACGME’s movement toward accreditation on the basis of educational outcomes, and to reduce

LIMITATIONS OF THE CURRENT SYSTEM
When the ACGME was established in 1981, the GME environment was facing two major stresses: variability in the quality of resident education² and the emerging formalization of subspecialty education. In response, the ACGME’s approach emphasized program structure, increased the amount and quality of formal teaching, fostered a balance between service and education, promoted resident evaluation and feedback, and required financial and benefit support for trainees. These dimensions were incorporated into program requirements that became increasingly

A key element of the NAS is the measurement and reporting of outcomes through the educational milestones...
Internal Medicine Milestones

1. Gathers and synthesizes essential and accurate information to define each patient’s clinical problem(s). (PC1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Critical Deficiencies</th>
<th>Inconsistently able to acquire accurate historical information in an organized fashion</th>
<th>Consistently acquires accurate and relevant histories from patients</th>
<th>Acquires accurate histories from patients in an efficient, prioritized, and hypothesis-driven fashion</th>
<th>Obtains relevant historical subtleties, including sensitive information that informs the differential diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does not collect accurate historical data</td>
<td>Does not perform an appropriately thorough physical exam or misses key physical exam findings</td>
<td>Consistently performs accurate and appropriately thorough physical exams</td>
<td>Performs accurate physical exams that are targeted to the patient’s complaints</td>
<td>Identifies subtle or unusual physical exam findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does not use physical exam to confirm history</td>
<td>Does not seek or is overly reliant on secondary data</td>
<td>Uses collected data to define a patient’s central clinical problem(s)</td>
<td>Synthesizes data to generate a prioritized differential diagnosis and problem list</td>
<td>Efficiently utilizes all sources of secondary data to inform differential diagnosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relies exclusively on documentation of others to generate own database or differential diagnosis</td>
<td>Inconsistently recognizes patients’ central clinical problem or develops limited differential diagnoses</td>
<td></td>
<td>Effectively uses history and physical examination skills to minimize the need for further diagnostic testing</td>
<td>Role models and teaches the effective use of history and physical examination skills to minimize the need for further diagnostic testing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:
Entrustable Professional Activities

“… identify the critical activities that constitute a specialty … the activities of which we would all agree should be only carried out by a trained specialist.”

ten Cate et al.
*Acad Med*, 2007
EPAs as an assessment strategy

EPAs provide a meaningful context to a work-based assessment

Synthesize multiple competency domains

Reflect the desired outcomes of profession, public and policy-makers
Redesign in Medical Education

Competency-Based Medical Education (CBME)
- Focus is on outcomes
- Reflect health system needs

Curriculum and Assessment Reform
- Moving towards andragogy
- New curricular elements
- Milestones and EPA’s

Regulatory Changes
- ACGME Next Accreditation System
- Maintenance of Certification
- Threats to GME funding
Education Redesign

What are the pressures driving this change?
Rapid Growth in Medical Literature

http://jasonpriem.org/2010/10/medline-literature-growth-chart/
Gaps in Individual Physician Readiness for “Unsupervised Faculty”

Office-based practice competencies
- Inter-professional team skills
- Clinical information technology skills
- Population management skills
- Reflective practice and CQI skills

Care coordination
Continuity of care
Leadership and management skills
Systems-thinking
Procedural skills

Crosson et al. Health Affairs, 2011
Deficiency in surgical skills for graduates

Subspecialty surgical fellowship director questionnaire:

- 21% unprepared for the operating room
- 38% lack of patient ownership
- 30% could not perform a lap chole
- 66% unable to operate for 30 unsupervised minutes
- 28% not recognize therapeutic options
- 24% unable to recognize early complications

Paradigm Shift of CBME
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Imperative for Education Redesign

Medical education has not sufficiently responded to:

• Shifting patient expectations and demographics
• Changing health delivery systems
• Quality improvement
• Use of new technologies

Summary: Medical education in not meeting the nation’s health care needs

IOM Crossing Quality Chasm
IOM Health Professions Education
What are the nation’s health care needs?
Healthcare Macrosystem

Includes patients, providers, insurers, employers, public programs (Medicare), medical suppliers, medical training and research institutions

Interact and partner for the socially desired purpose of improving the health of the population
Examples of Macrosystem Redesign

Affordable Care Act (ACA)
- Access to care through insurance
- Cost containment
- Accountable Care Organizations

New care delivery models (PCMH)

Meaningful use

Medical Education Redesign
Healthcare Microsystems

Small, functional, front-line units that provide the most health care to most people. They are the essential building blocks of larger organizations and of the health system.

The quality and value of care produced by large health systems can be no better than the services generated by the small systems in which it is composed.

Examples of Microsystem Redesign

High-value and cost-effective care

Patient experience

Population health

Handoffs at transitions of care

Improved access to non-emergent care
Does where you trained matter?


>4.9 million deliveries by 4,124 physicians from 107 residency programs

Goal: compare patient clinical outcomes against where received residency training

Asch et al. *JAMA*, 2009
- Substantial and stable differences in complication rates across programs

- Consistent across type of delivery (p<0.001)

- Consistent across individual complication

- Adjusted for comorbidities and hospital characteristics

- No evidence of selection effects

### Maternal Complication Rate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residency Quintile</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>(10.1-10.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>(11.3-11.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>(11.9-12.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>(12.3-12.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>(13.1-14.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Asch et al. *JAMA*, 2009
Summary

Education is evolving to achieve outcomes that meet the needs of health delivery system

Education is part of the larger health delivery system (micro- and macro-systems)

Clinical environment of training impacts the quality of care throughout a career
Isn’t this obvious?

Not always the case...

CEO: “Isn’t that (education) what the University does?”

Academic Leader: “What do they (hospital) care about education?”
To the CEO:

Trainees and faculty provide care for the health delivery system

Embracing educational mission is crucial to improving quality (academic and otherwise)

Education is part of the health system

These are your future providers!
To the Academic Leader:

New curricular structures or content areas alone won’t improve the quality of students’ education.

Engaging in clinical improvement is necessary to teach and assess meaningful educational outcomes.

Education is part of the health system.

Training competent physicians requires that they train in competent systems!
Alignment and integration is necessary

Innovative solutions to common problems

Sharing of resources

We share a common mission to improve the health of the patients and populations that we serve!
Discussion

What educational redesign efforts are you currently engaged?

What clinical redesign efforts are you currently engaged?

Are they aligned? Should they be?
Challenges to alignment and integration

Ongoing risk of the “unintentional marginalization” of education mission

Reliance on “Old Files” (i.e. the way it used to be)

Fear of Change (i.e. the way it needs to be)

Lack of trust regarding intent
Approach

Listen to needs of health system

Listen to concerns of educational leaders

Identify opportunities for health system redesign can drive education (e.g. quality)

Identify opportunities where education can drive health system redesign (e.g. patient experience)
Engaging Nebraska Medicine

Engage senior leadership – tie educational objectives to their bottom line

Transparent in my intent – prevent the unintentional marginalization

Demonstrate value – link to institutional objectives (improved margin, sustained quality, enhanced UHC scores, etc…)}
Engaging UNMC

Engage educational leaders – especially Academic Affairs

Transparent in my intent – prevent the unintentional marginalization

Demonstrate opportunity – link to strategic plan (interprofessional practice, clinical training sites, faculty development, etc…).
Office of Health Professions Education

**Purpose**: Facilitate clinical and educational initiatives to achieve the common mission

- Recognition of priorities
- Recognition of opportunities
- Facilitate and drive innovation
- Not deliver or develop educational content
- Strategic and mission-focused

Lives within leadership structure of Nebraska Medicine
OHPE Areas of Focus

1. Clinical Quality
2. Interprofessional Practice
3. Rural/Community Development
4. Maintenance of Competence
Shared Vision

Avoid having two visions

Incorporate leaders vision into document – they need to be able to see themselves and their transition in the document

Builds trust and transparency

Provided a license for others to explore, build and grow
Lessons Learned

Shared vision document for OHPE

Link alignment to existing structures or processes that are working well or could be enhanced (i.e. reinvestment)

Cannot communicate enough

Need more time! Competing priorities get in the way.
What does alignment mean for GIM?

Opportunity!

- Emphasize, enhance education
- Reward for educational excellence and leadership
- Education to drive quality
- Enhanced, personalized and individualized training
- Emphasis on outcomes is strategic for GIM
Medical Education: Part of the Problem and Part of the Solution

“The goal of medical education is not simply to produce physicians. It is to improve the health of our patients and their communities.

Achieving this goal means that we must pursue our education reform process with the end in mind: targeting the development of the physicians who can be successful in the 21st century health care environment rather than further refining our ability to produce the 20th century physician.”

Lucey CR. JAMA Int Med, 2013
University of Nebraska Medical Center

BREAKTHROUGHS FOR LIFE.