Mentoring committee MSMHA Capstone Project Proposal Review

Reviewer: ________________________________________________________________

MSMHA Student: __________________________________________________________

Project title: _____________________________________________________________

1. Does student adequately describe the background and rationale for the project? 
   Comment on relevance and the degree of difficulty involved in achieving the goals.

2. Do the major questions or goals the student is seeking to investigate appear to be possible within the 
   timeframe proposed?

3. Do you detect any hindrances (technology, finances, mentor’s availability, etc.) that might cause 
   problems in this project being completed successfully?

4. Are the aims of the project spelled out in sufficient detail that you feel comfortable in knowing what and 
   how the project will be achieved?

5. Are the methods and technologies to be used spelled out sufficiently to give an understanding of what 
   the student must do to achieve his/her goals?
6. Has the student provided the most current literature on the project area, and do you feel that what is proposed will take the student beyond what currently exists?

7. Is the proposal written in a clear and concise fashion, devoid of extensive grammatical and spelling errors? Is the tone of the proposal serious and matter of fact and does it avoid the use of colloquialisms or slang?

COMMITEE DECISION:

THIS DOCUMENT WILL BE FORWARDED TO THE STUDENT AND THE MENTOR FOLLOWING REVIEW

____ Approved with no conditions

____ Approved with the following conditions

____ Not approved, with the following recommended change of action. Please note - The revised document requires a Cover letter to the Mentoring Committee explaining how the conditions/revisions were met, along with any other special conditions that warrant comment.

Reviewer’s Signature

Date