



WEBSITE

JUDGING CRITERIA (Judging criteria are explained in the <i>Contest Rule Book</i>)	EVALUATION				
	SUPERIOR	EXCELLENT	GOOD	NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	

COMMENTS

- Strengths
- Areas for Improvement

Historical Quality (60%)	SUPERIOR	EXCELLENT	GOOD	NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	
• Entry is historically accurate					
• Shows analysis and interpretation					
• Places topic in historical context					
• Shows wide research					
• Uses available primary sources					
• Research is balanced					

Relation to Theme (20%)	SUPERIOR	EXCELLENT	GOOD	NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	
• Clearly relates topic to theme					
• Demonstrates significance of topic in history and draws conclusions					

Clarity of Presentation (20%)	SUPERIOR	EXCELLENT	GOOD	NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	
• Website, written material are original, clear, appropriate, organized and articulate					
• Website has visual impact, uses multimedia effectively and actively involves viewer					

Rules Compliance	Yes	No
• Maintains word limit (1200 student-composed words)		
• Maintains size limit (100 MB)		
• Multimedia clips do not exceed total time of 4 minutes		
• Includes annotated bibliography and process paper within the website		
• Total word counts of website and process paper are included on homepage		
• All visual sources and all quotes from written sources are credited within the website		