Fitzsimons Building 1st Floor West, 2nd Floor West, Ground Floor West, Ground Floor North Renovations
Request for Qualifications Architect/Engineer
Project Number: 20-131504

Friday, March 20, 2020
ADDENDUM 2

The University of Colorado Denver | Anschutz Medical Campus is not holding any on-site meetings until after April 3. This date is subject to change.

This addendum provides written responses to the questions received for the RFQ of the above referenced project. Where multiple questions were received asking the same topic, only one version of the question was included in the responses below.

Questions:

1. Given the current state of the Coronavirus Pandemic, does it remain your intention to have (10) hard copies and (1) electronic copy on USB drive delivered and received to the Campus Services Building by Thursday, 3/26/2020 by 11:30 am?
   a. Firms should no longer submit paper copies of their submittal packages. All submittals are to be delivered electronically in one single PDF. Submittals should be electrically delivered using the following website:
      https://ucdenverdata.formstack.com/forms/rfp_rfq_submission
   b. The electronic submittal file size is limited to a max of 25 MB.
   c. The submission remains due by 3/26/2020 at 11:30 AM.

2. Reference is made that the project includes “asbestos abatement”. In the past, this scope has often involved a 3rd party consultant that has a direct contract with the university and is outside the scope of A/E services. Is it your intention to have an industrial hygiene consulting firm as a sub-consultant providing their services under the A/E Prime Agreement?
   a. The University will retain a consultant for abatement related items. Their abatement report and plans will need to be incorporated into project documents so the CM/GC can bid out the abatement scope with the project and include the cost of the work in their GMP.
3. Can you share the composition of the selection committee?
   
a. The selection committee that will review the submittals and attend the interview has not fully been defined. The same individuals will be engaged to review submittals and participate in oral interviews.
   
b. The identities of the selection committee are not released except in-person to the firms participating in the oral interviews.
   
c. The University strives to compile a selection committee that brings diversified interests and perspectives to a project. A committee could consist of the project manager, individuals from the departments that space is being renovated, facilities management employees that have significant scope involvement (HVAC, electrical, building manager, etc), Office of Institutional Planning employees, and Facilities Projects employees.
   
4. I assume we should be including a team member for IT & AV systems design, this is not owner provided/separate contract but based on campus standards.
   
a. The Architectural Firms should provide a pre-approved consultant responsible for IT & AV design for the project.
   
b. AV design consultants will be vetted by the University and if not approved, the design team will have to select an alternate consultant.
   
c. IT design consultants must possess a registered communication distribution designer (RCDD) on staff.
   
d. This scope will include network cabling design, distributed antenna systems, and other systems that may be retained in the space.
   
5. Does existing MEP infrastructure have capacity for MEP system replacements as described in RFQ/office renovation program document?
   
a. This will be part of the design team’s scope to determine.
   
6. Are there any known issues with electrical service, plumbing mains, or HVAC central plant that might prevent upgrades described in RFQ/office renovation program document?
   
a. No issues are currently known, however, issues may be identified during the design process or construction that will need to be accounted for by the selected team.
   
7. Please confirm that MWBE participation is not a requirement for response to project RFQ.
   
a. There is no MWBE participation requirement.
   
8. Is commissioning a requirement for project delivery?
   
a. Commissioning is a requirement. The University will retain a commissioning agent that the design team will need to work closely with for the incorporation of commissioning plans and project requirements.
   
9. Will energy modeling be a project requirement?
   
a. It should be anticipated that energy modeling may be required per the requirements listed in the RFQ, especially to meet some of the desired LEED credits identified.
10. What is the R-value status of the existing exterior envelope? Are exterior envelope upgrades part of this renovation scope of work?

   a. The design team will have to determine this as it relates to their project design. Certain components of the exterior envelope may be part of the scope of the renovation (windows, etc) to meet the goals outlined in the RFP.

11. RFQ page 24-of-29 requires notarized attestation. Due to current and anticipated Covid-19 health context, is notarization required for submission?

   a. The attestation is not required to be notarized. The form asks for a corporate seal to be affixed (if available). This document should be wet signed and stamped. Include a scanned of this document in your submittal package.

12. RFQ page 22 & 24-of-29 requires corporate office signatures. Due to current and anticipated Covid-19 health context, are digital image signatures sufficient in lieu of original signatures.

   a. These documents should have wet signatures and can be scanned for inclusion in your submittal package.

13. Will historic preservation tax credits be pursued for the scope of renovation work?

   a. This is not anticipated but could change.

14. Will programming phase be included in the scope of work for this contract?

   a. The university will provide a program plan that is currently being developed.

15. Please provide description of existing structural framing systems and floor slab capacity.

   a. This will be the responsibility of the design team to determine by review of the existing building documents, on-site investigation, or engagement of an engineer.

16. Please provide description regarding existing interior partition materials, and confirm non-load bearing condition for all interior partitions.

   a. This will be the responsibility of the design team to determine by review of the existing building documents, on-site investigation, and/or engagement of an engineer.

17. What is the anticipated design team fee range for RFQ stated scope of work?

   a. The University does not identify a fee range. The design teams are selected based on qualifications. Once a design team is selected, if the fee submitted is not within the budget or can not be negotiated to fit within the budget while including all scope as outlined for the project, the University may select the next design teams to submit a fee proposal.
18. The AE will work closely with the CM/GC as necessary... and will work closely with the CU Anschutz throughout the planning, design and construction...” When will the CM/GC be selected? Shortly after the AE, before the Anticipated Program/Design Start?

a. The CM/GC selection process has not yet started. It is anticipated that the CM/GC will be engaged early in the project design.
b. It is typical for a member of the selected design team to sit on the CM/GC selection committee.

19. Is it known how many on-site visits will be required by the owner during the design and construction phases?

a. Design teams and engineers will be required to have on-site representation whenever an issue is required to be resolved and for review of work-in-place throughout the construction process. Listing a specific number of visits within the fee proposal will not be accepted.
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